

Counting principle:

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be a subgroups of a Group  $G$ . We define the product of  $H$  and  $K$  is  $HK$  by

$$HK = \{hk \mid h \in H \text{ \& } k \in K\}$$

Lemma

$HK$  is a subgroup of  $G$  iff  $HK = KH$ .

① proof

Assume that  $HK = KH$

To prove that:  $HK$  is a subgroup

i.e) to prove that closed and every element in  $HK$  has its inverse in  $HK$ .

Suppose that  $x = hk \in HK$

$y = h_1k_1 \in HK$

Then  $xy = hkh_1k_1$

$\therefore kh_1 \in KH = HK$

Now,  $kh_1 = h_2k_2$  for some  $h_2 \in H, k_2 \in K$

Here,  $xy = hkh_1k_1$

$$= h(kh_1)k_1$$

$$= h(h_2k_2)k_1$$

$$= (hh_2)(k_2k_1) \in HK$$

$HK$  is closed

Also,  $x^{-1} = (hk)^{-1}$

$$= k^{-1}h^{-1} \in KH = HK$$

$$x^{-1} \in HK$$

Thus  $HK$  is a subgroup of  $G$

Sufficient part:

Assume that  $HK$  is a subgroup of  $G$

$$\text{TPT: } HK = KH$$

$HK$  is a subgroup of  $G$  then for any

$$h \in H, k \in K, h^{-1}k^{-1} \in HK$$

$$\text{and } kh = (h^{-1}k^{-1})^{-1} \in HK$$

$$KH \subset HK \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Now if  $x$  is any element of  $HK$

$$x^{-1} = hk \in HK$$

$$\begin{aligned} \& x = (x^{-1})^{-1} &= (hk)^{-1} \\ &= k^{-1}h^{-1} \in KH \end{aligned}$$

$$HK \subset KH \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

From  $\textcircled{1}$  &  $\textcircled{2}$ , we have

$$HK = KH$$

Note:

i) If  $H$  is a subgroup of Group  $G$ , then

$H^2 = H \cdot H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

ii) If  $H$  &  $K$  are finite subgroup of  $G$ ,

then  $HK$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

Thm: (2)

If  $H$  and  $K$  are finite subgroups of  $G$  of order  $O(H)$  and  $O(K)$  respectively. Then

$$\text{order of } HK [O(HK)] = \frac{O(H) O(K)}{O(H \cap K)}$$

Proof

We show that, any element  $hk \in HK$  is repeated exactly  $O(H \cap K)$ ,  $K$  times in the product of  $HK$ .

$$\text{Now, } \alpha^{-1} \in H \cap K, \text{ then } hk = h\alpha\alpha^{-1}k \\ = (h\alpha)(\alpha^{-1}k) \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

$$\text{Here, } h\alpha \in H \forall h \in H \ \& \ \alpha \in H \\ \alpha^{-1}k \in K \forall \alpha^{-1} \in K \ \& \ k \in K$$

Thus,  $hk$  is repeated in the product of  $HK$ .

At least  $O(H \cap K)$ ,  $K$  times.

$$\text{Let } hk = h_1k_1,$$

$$h^{-1}h = k^{-1}k,$$

We say that  $\alpha \in H \cap K$

$$\text{Also, we say that, } h_1k_1 = h_1(\alpha\alpha^{-1})k_1 \\ = (h_1\alpha)(\alpha^{-1}k_1)$$

This shows that the repeat inside only in the form already considered in the form.

We conclude that  $hk$  repeated exactly  $O(H \cap K)$   $K$ -times in the product.

$$\therefore O(HK) = \frac{O(H)O(K)}{O(H \cap K)}$$

Note:

④

Suppose  $H, K$  are subgroups of a finite group  $G$ . And  $O(H) > \sqrt{O(G)}$ ,

$O(K) > \sqrt{O(G)}$ ,  $O(H \cap K) = 1$ , then

$$O(HK) = O(H) \cdot O(K) \text{ and}$$

$$O(G) = O(H) \cdot O(K)$$

Then  $\Rightarrow$  If  $O(H) > \sqrt{O(G)} \ \& \ O(K) > \sqrt{O(G)}$ .

Then  $H \cap K \neq \{e\}$

Proof

$$\text{W.K.T, } HK \leq O(G)$$

$$O(G) \geq O(H) \cdot O(K)$$

$$O(G) \geq \frac{O(H) \cdot O(K)}{O(H \cap K)}$$

$$[\because O(H \cap K) = 1]$$

$$O(G) > \frac{O(G)}{O(H \cap K)}$$

$$O(G) > \frac{O(G)}{O(H \cap K)}$$

$$O(H \cap K) > 1$$

$$\therefore O(H \cap K) \neq e.$$

③ Normal subgroups and Quotient groups:

Def:

A subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  is said to be

Normal subgroup of  $G$  if for every  $g \in G$

and  $n \in N$ ,  $gng^{-1} \in N$ .

Lemma: (4)

(5)  
N is a normal subgroup of G iff  
 $gNg^{-1} = N \quad \forall g \in G.$

Proof

(i) Assume that  $gNg^{-1} = N \quad \forall g \in G$

TPT: N is a normal subgroup of G

iff  $gNg^{-1} = N \quad \forall g \in G$  certainly

$$gNg^{-1} \subseteq N$$

$\therefore$  N is a normal subgroup of G

(ii) Assume that N is a normal subgroup of G

TPT  $gNg^{-1} = N \quad \forall g \in G$

Suppose N is a normal subgroup of G

Thus if  $g \in G$   $gNg^{-1} \subseteq N$

$$\& \quad g^{-1}Ng = g^{-1}N(g^{-1})^{-1} \subseteq N$$

$$g^{-1}Ng \subseteq N$$

$$N = g(g^{-1}Ng)g^{-1} \subseteq gNg^{-1} \subseteq N$$

$$\text{where } N = g^{-1}Ng \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Lemma:

The subgroup  $N(G)$  is a normal subgroup of

G iff every left <sup>cosets</sup> ~~quotient~~ of N in G is a

right cosets of N in G.

Proof

Suppose that N is a normal subgroup of G.

TPT: Every left coset of  $N$  in  $G$  is a right coset of  $N$  in  $G$ .

$\therefore N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then

for every  $g \in G$ ,  $gNg^{-1} = N$

$$(gNg^{-1})g = Ng \quad \text{By [Cancellation law]}$$

$$\Rightarrow gN(g^{-1}g) = Ng$$

$$gN(e) = Ng$$

$$\therefore gN = Ng$$

Suppose conversely that every left coset of  $N$  is a right coset of  $N$  in  $G$ .

TPT:  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Thus for  $g \in G$ ,  $gN$  being a left coset must be a right coset.

$$\text{Now, } gN = Ng$$

$$\Rightarrow gNg^{-1} = Ng g^{-1}$$

$$gNg^{-1} = Ne$$

$$\therefore gNg^{-1} = N$$

Hence,  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Thm:

A subgroup  $N(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$

iff the product of two right cosets of  $N$  in  $G$

is again a right coset of  $N$  in  $G$ .

Proof

(7)

Suppose  $N$  is a normal subgroup, then

$$NaNb = N(aN)b$$

$$= N(Na)b$$

$$= Nab$$

$$NaNb = Nab$$

Suppose that the product of any two right cosets of  $N$  is again a right coset of  $N$ .

Then  $NaNb$  is a right coset ~~containing~~ of  $N$

Then  $NaNb$  is a right co

Further,  $ab = (ea)(eb) \in (Na)(Nb)$

Hence,  $NaNb$  is a right coset containing  $ab$ .

$$\therefore NaNb = Nab$$

Now, we prove that,  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Let  $a \in G$  and  $n \in N$ . Then

$$ana^{-1} = eana^{-1}$$

$$= (ea)(na^{-1}) \in Nana^{-1} = Naa^{-1}$$

$$= Ne$$

$$= N$$

$$ana^{-1} \in N$$

$\therefore N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Quotient group:

Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then

the group  $G/N$  is called a quotient group (or)

factor group.

Let  $G/N$  denote the collection of right cosets of  $N$  in  $G$  and we use the product of subsets of  $G$ . To yield for us a product of  $G/N$ .

Eg:

TP:  $G/N$  is a group.

i) Closure property:

$$x, y \in G/N \Rightarrow xy \in G/N$$

Let  $x = Na, y = Nb$  for some  $a, b \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } xy &= NaNb \\ &= Nab \in G/N \end{aligned}$$

ii) Associative property:

$$x, y, z \in G/N \Rightarrow (xy)z = x(yz)$$

Let  $x = Na, y = Nb, z = Nc$  for some  $a, b, c \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } (xy)z &= (NaNb)Nc \\ &= (Nab)Nc \\ &= N(ab)c \\ &= Na(bc) \\ &= Na(Nbc) \\ &= Na(NbNc) \end{aligned}$$

$$(xy)z = x(yz)$$

iii) Identity property:

consider on element  $N = Ne \in G/N$

if  $x \in G/N, x = Na$  for some  $a \in G$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } xN &= NaNe \\ &= Na e \\ &= Na = x \end{aligned}$$

$$xN = x$$



$$\text{iii) } xy, \quad Nx = x$$

$$\therefore xN = Nx = x$$

Hence  $Ne$  is an identity element for  $G/N$ .

iv) Inverse property:

$$\text{Suppose } x = Na \in G/N, \quad a \in G$$

$$\text{Then } Na^{-1} \in G/N \text{ and}$$

$$NaNa^{-1} = Naa^{-1} \\ = Ne$$

$$\text{iii) } xy, \quad Na^{-1}Na = Ne$$

$$Na^{-1}Na = NNa^{-1} = Ne$$

Here,  $Na^{-1}$  is the inverse element of  $Na$  of  $G/N$ .

$\therefore G/N$  is a group.

Homomorphism:

A mapping  $\phi$  from a group  $G$  into a group  $G'$  is said to be a homomorphism if for all  $a, b \in G$ ,  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ .

Eg: 1

$\phi: G \rightarrow G'$  defined by  $\phi(x) = e \forall x \in G$ ,  $e$  is a identity element in  $G'$  is a trivial homomorphism.

$$\text{i.e) } \phi(xy) = ~~e~~ e \cdot e = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$$

Eg: 2

$\phi(x) = x$  for every  $x \in G$  is a homomorphism.

$$\text{i.e) } \phi(xy) = xy = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$$

$\therefore \phi$  is a homomorphism.

Eg: 3

Let  $G$  be a group of integers under addition.

and let  $G' = G$  for the integer  $x \in G$  define  $\phi$  by  $\phi(x) = 2x$

$\phi: G \rightarrow G'$  defined by  $\phi(x) = 2x$  (+)

$$\phi(x+y) = 2(x+y) = 2x + 2y = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$$

⑤

⑥ Let  $G$  be a group of positive real numbers under multiplication and let  $G'$  be a group of all real numbers under addition. Define  $\phi: G \rightarrow G'$  by  $\phi(x) = \log_{10} x$ .

$$\phi(xy) = \log_{10}(xy) = \log_{10} x + \log_{10} y$$

$$= \phi(x) + \phi(y)$$

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$$

Lemma

⑦ Suppose  $G$  is a group,  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  define the mapping  $\phi$  from  $G$  to  $G/N$  by  $\phi(x) = Nx \forall x \in G$ . Then  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G/N$ .

Proof

W.K.T,  $\phi$  is onto is trivial.

For every element  $x \in G/N \forall y \in G$

$$\text{So, } x = \phi(y)$$

Now, to prove that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism

$$\phi(xy) = Nxy$$

$$= N \times N Y$$

$$= x \cdot y$$

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$$

$\therefore \phi$  is a homomorphism.

Kernel: (7)

If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  into  $G'$ ,  
the kernel of  $\phi$ ,  $K_\phi$  is defined by

$$K_\phi = \{x \in G / \phi(x) = e'\}$$

[ $e'$  is the identity element of  $G'$ ]

Lemma:

If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G'$ . Then

i)  $\phi(e) = e'$ , the unit element of  $G'$ .

ii)  $\phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1}$ ,  $\forall x \in G$ .

Proof

i) Given that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $G \rightarrow G'$ .

$$\text{then suppose } \phi(x) e' = \phi(x)$$

$$= \phi(xe)$$

$$= \phi(x) \phi(e)$$

$\therefore \phi$  is  
homomorphism

$$\phi(x) e' = \phi(x) \phi(e)$$

[By left

Cancellation law]

$$\therefore e' = \phi(e)$$

ii) w.k.T,  $e' = \phi(e)$

$$= \phi(xx^{-1})$$

$\therefore \phi$  is homomorphism

$$e' = \phi(x) \phi(x^{-1})$$

$$(\phi(x))^{-1} e' = \phi(x^{-1}) \Rightarrow \therefore \phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1}$$

Lemma:

✓ = If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  into  $G'$ .

- (1) With kernel  $K$ . Then  $K$  is a normal subgroup  
(2) of  $G$ .

Proof

We have to prove that  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

i.e) To prove that  $K$  is closed under multiplication and has inverse in it. ✓

For every  $x, y$  belonging to  $K$ .

i)  $K$  is closed:

$$\text{If } x, y \in K, \text{ then } \phi(x) = e' \\ \text{ \& } \phi(y) = e'$$

where  $e'$  is the identity element in  $G'$ .

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$$

$$= e' \cdot e'$$

$$\phi(xy) = e'$$

$$xy \in K; x, y \in K \Rightarrow xy \in K$$

$\therefore K$  is closed.

ii)  $K$  is inverse:

$$\text{If } x \in K, \text{ then } \phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1}$$

$$= [\phi(x)]^{-1}$$

$$= [e']^{-1}$$

$$\phi(x^{-1}) = e'$$

$$\therefore x^{-1} \in K$$

$$\therefore x \in K \Rightarrow x^{-1} \in K$$

$\therefore x^{-1}$  is a inverse element of  $x$  in  $K$ .

Hence  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

TPT:  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

i.e) to prove that for any  $g \in G, k \in K,$   
 $gkg^{-1} \in K.$

Now,  $\phi(gkg^{-1}) = e'$  whenever  $\phi(k) = e'.$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(gkg^{-1}) = \phi(g)\phi(k)\phi(g^{-1}) \quad \therefore (\phi \text{ is homo- morphism})$$

$$= \phi(g)e'\phi(g^{-1})$$

$$= \phi(g)\phi(g^{-1})$$

$$\therefore (\phi(g^{-1}) = \phi(g)^{-1})$$

$$\phi(gkg^{-1}) = e$$

$$\therefore gkg^{-1} \in K$$

Hence  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

### ④ Fundamental theorem of Homomorphism:

Let  $\phi$  be a homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G'$  with kernel  $K$ . Then  $G/K \cong G'.$

Proof Let  $K$  be the kernel of the homomorphism of  $\phi: G \rightarrow G'$ , then  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Consider the quotient group of  $G/K$  and the mapping  $\phi: G/K \rightarrow G'.$

Given by  $\phi_1(kx) = \phi(x) \quad \forall kx \in G/K$

i)  $\phi_1$  is well defined!

$$\text{Let } kx = ky$$

$$\Rightarrow xy^{-1} \in K$$

$$\phi(xy^{-1}) = e'$$

$$\phi(x)\phi(y^{-1}) = e'$$

$$\phi(x)[\phi(y)]^{-1} = e'$$

$$\therefore x \in K \Rightarrow \phi(x) = e'$$

$$\therefore \phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1} = [e']^{-1}$$

$$\phi(x) = \phi(y)$$

$$\phi_1(kx) = \phi_1(ky)$$

$\therefore \phi$  is well defined.

ii)  $\phi_1$  is 1-1 :

$$\text{Let } \phi_1(kx) = \phi(x) \text{ and } \phi_1(ky) = \phi(y)$$

$$\phi_1(kx) = \phi_1(ky) \text{ where } kx, ky \in G/K$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x) = \phi(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x) [\phi(y)]^{-1} = e'$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x) (\phi(y^{-1})) = e'$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(xy^{-1}) = e'$$

$$\Rightarrow xy^{-1} \in K$$

$$\Rightarrow kx = ky$$

$\therefore \phi_1$  is 1-1.

( $\because \phi$  is homomorphism)

iii)  $\phi_1$  is onto :

Let  $xz \in G$   $\exists$  an element  $x \in G$ .

$$\exists: \phi(x) = xz$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(kx) = \phi(x) = xz \quad \because \phi_1(kx) = \phi(x)$$

$$\phi_1(kx) = xz$$

$\therefore \phi_1$  is onto.

iv)  $\phi_1$  preserves operation :

Let  $kx, ky \in G/K$  be an arbitrary element.

$$\text{Then, } \phi_1(kxky) = \phi_1(kxy)$$

$$= \phi(xy)$$

$$= \phi(x)\phi(y)$$

$$= \phi_1(kx) \phi_1(ky)$$

$$\phi_1(kxky) = \phi_1(kx) \phi_1(ky)$$

$\phi_1$  is homomorphism.

$$\text{Hence } G_1/K_1 \cong G_1'$$

Isomorphism:

Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow G_1'$  be a group homomorphism.

We say that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism that is to satisfy  $\phi$  is one to one & onto.

Monomorphism & Epimorphism:

Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow G_1'$  be a group homomorphism.

We say that  $\phi$  is monomorphism if  $\phi$  is one-one.

We say that  $\phi$  is epimorphism if  $\phi$  is onto.

Automorphism:

A group homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow G_1'$  is isomorphism then it is called Automorphism.

Corollary:

Homomorphism  ~~$\phi$~~   $\phi$  of  $G$  into  $G_1'$  with kernel  $K_\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $G$  into  $G_1'$  iff  $K_\phi = \{e\} = (e)$ .

Proof

Let  $\phi$  be a isomorphism.

$$\text{TPT: } K_\phi = \{e\}$$

Let  $x \in K_\phi$  be an arbitrary element.

$$\Rightarrow x \in G$$

$\Rightarrow \phi(x) = e'$ , where  $e'$  is the identity element in  $G'$ .

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x) = \phi(e) = e'$$

$$\phi(x) = e = e'$$

$$\therefore K_\phi = \{e\}$$

Conversely, let  $K_\phi = \{e\}$

Trt :  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

Suppose  $\phi(x) = y$  where  $x, y \in G$ .

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x) (\phi(y))^{-1} = e'$$

$$\phi(x) \phi(y^{-1}) = e$$

$$\phi(xy^{-1}) = e$$

$$xy^{-1} \in K_\phi$$

$$xy^{-1} = e'$$

$$x = y$$

$K_\phi$  is one-one

$K_\phi$  is an isomorphism.



10) Cauchy's Theorem for abelian groups:

Statement:

Suppose  $G$  is a finite abelian group and  $\frac{p}{o(G)}$ ,  
 $p$  is a prime number. Then there is an element

$$a \neq e \in G \exists : a^p = e$$

Proof

We have to prove the theorem on induction  
of  $o(G)$ .

i)  $G$  has no subgroup:

If  $G$  has no subgroup  $H \neq \{e\}, G$ .

But  $G$  must be cyclic of prime order.

i.e) the prime must be  $p$  and  $G$  has  $p-1$   
elements  $a \neq e$  satisfying  $a^p = a^{o(G)} = e$ .

$$\text{Hence } a^p = e$$

ii)  $G$  has subgroups:

Suppose  $G$  has subgroups,  $N \neq e, G$

If  $\frac{p}{o(N)}$  by our induction hypothesis.

Since  $N \subset G \Rightarrow o(N) < o(G)$ , and  $N$  is abelian.

If an element  $b \in N$ ,  $b \neq e \exists : b^p = e$

Since  $b \in N \subset G$ .

Assume that  $p$  does not  $o(N)$

Since  $G$  is abelian,  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$

so  $G/N$  is a group.

$$o(G/N) = \frac{o(G)}{o(N)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p}{\frac{o(G)}{o(N)}} < o(G)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p}{o(G)}$$

Since  $G$  is abelian,  $G/N$  is abelian.

Thus by our induction hypothesis there exist an element  $x \in G/N$  satisfying  $x^p = e$ , Then the unit element of  $G/N$ .

Now, the element of  $G/N$ ,  $x = Nb$ ,  $b \in G$ .

$$\Rightarrow x^p = (Nb)^p \Rightarrow Nb^p$$

$$Nb^p = N, Nb \neq N$$

$$b^p \in N, b \notin N$$

By Lagrange's theorem  $(b^p)^{o(N)} = e$  (or)

$$b^{o(N)p} = e$$

Let  $c = b^{o(N)} \Rightarrow c^p = e, c \neq e$

if  $c = e \Rightarrow b^{o(N)} = e$

$$\Rightarrow (Nb)^{o(N)} = N \Rightarrow (Nb)^p = N$$

$p \times o(N)$ ,  $p$  is a prime number we find that

$$Nb = N, b \in N$$

Which is contradiction.

$$\therefore c \neq e, c^p = e$$

Thm

Let  $\phi$  be a homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G'$  with kernel  $K$  and let  $N'$  be a normal subgroup of  $G'$ ,

$N = \{x \in G / \phi(x) \in N'\}$ . Then  $G/N$  is isomorphic to

$$G'/N'; \quad [G/N \cong G'/N'] \text{ equivalently } G'/N' \cong \frac{(G/K)}{(N/K)}.$$

Proof

We have  $\theta: G' \rightarrow G'/N'$  is a onto group

homomorphism with kernel  $K$  and  $\theta(g') = N'g'$ .

Define  $\psi: G \rightarrow G'/N'$  by  $\psi(g) = N'\phi(g) \forall g \in G$ .

i)  $\psi$  is onto:

if  $g' \in G'$ ,  $g' = \phi(g)$  for some  $g \in G$

$\therefore \phi$  is homomorphism  $\exists$  an element  $N'g' \in G'/N'$

$$\exists: N'\phi(g) = \psi(g)$$

$\therefore \phi$  is onto.

ii)  $\psi$  is homomorphism:

$$\text{if } a, b \in G, \psi(ab) = N'\phi(ab)$$

$\phi$  is homomorphism

$$\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$$

$$\psi(ab) = N'\phi(a)\phi(b)$$

$$\psi(ab) = N'\phi(a)N'\phi(b)$$

$$= \psi(a)\psi(b)$$

$$\therefore \psi(ab) = \psi(a)\psi(b)$$

$\therefore \psi$  is homomorphism.

Now, kernel  $T$  of  $\psi$

If  $n \in N$ ,  $\phi(n) \in N'$  so that  $\psi(n) = N'\phi(n) = N'$

the identity element of  $G'/N'$

NCT, if  $t \in T$ ,  $\psi(t) = N'\phi(t) = N'$

Comparing these two evaluation element of

$$\psi(t) \Rightarrow N' = N'\phi(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(t) \in N'$$

$$\begin{cases} (\psi(n) = N') \\ (\psi(t) = N') \end{cases}$$

But this place  $t \in N$  by the definition of  $N$ .

Then  $\psi$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G'/N'$  with kernel  $N$ .

By the previous theorem,  $\frac{G}{N} \cong \frac{G'}{N'}$ . The last

statement is  $G' \cong G/K$  and  $N' \cong N/K$ .

$$\therefore \frac{G'}{N'} \cong \frac{(G/K)}{(N/K)}$$

Automorphism:

$$A(G) \neq \emptyset$$

Let  $G$  be a group and let  $A(G)$  denote the set of all automorphism of  $G$  being a subset of  $A(G)$ , the set of all permutation of the set  $G$  also  $A(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $I$  in  $A(G)$

Thm:

If  $G$  is a group.  $A(G)$  is a Automorphism of  $G$ . Then  $A(G)$  is a subgroup of  $A(G)$ .

Proof:

If  $T_1, T_2 \in A(G)$ , wkt  $T_1, T_2 \in A(G)$

For  $x, y \in G$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (xy)_{T_1} &= (x_{T_1})(y_{T_1}) \\ (xy)_{T_2} &= (x_{T_2})(y_{T_2}) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{conditions}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)_{T_1 T_2} &= ((xy)_{T_1})_{T_2} \\ &= ((x_{T_1})(y_{T_1}))_{T_2} \\ &= [(x_{T_1})_{T_2}] [(y_{T_1})_{T_2}] \\ &= (x_{T_1 T_2})(y_{T_1 T_2}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e)  $T_1 T_2 \in A(G)$

if  $T \in A(G)$  then  $T^{-1} \in A(G)$

if  $x, y \in G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_{T^{-1}})(y_{T^{-1}}))_T &= ((x_{T^{-1}})_T)((y_{T^{-1}})_T) \\ &= (x(T^{-1}T))(y(T^{-1}T)) \\ &= (xI)(yI) \\ &= xy \end{aligned}$$

$$(x_{T^{-1}})(y_{T^{-1}}) = (xy)_{T^{-1}}$$

Hence,  $A(G)$  is a subgroup of  $A(G)$

## Inner Automorphism:

Let  $a$  be an element of a group  $G$ . The Automorphism  $f_a: G \rightarrow G$  given by  $f_a(x) = axa^{-1}$   $\forall a \in G$  is called an inner automorphism of  $G$  determined by  $G$ .

$In(G)$  is denote the set of all inner automorphism of  $G$ .

## Centre:

$Z(G) = \{ a \in G \mid ax = xa \forall x \in G \}$  is called Centre of  $G$ .

## Thm:

For any group  $G$ , ~~subset~~  $In(G)$  is a normal Subgroup of  $A(G)$ . Further,  $In(G) \cong G/Z(G)$

Where  $Z(G)$  denote the centre of  $G$ .

## Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Clearly } I \in In(G) \text{ as } I(x) &= x = exe^{-1} \\ &= f_e(x) \forall x \in G \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any  $a \in G, x \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} f_a \circ f_{a^{-1}}(x) &= f_a(f_{a^{-1}}(x)) \\ &= f_a(a^{-1}x(a^{-1})^{-1}) \\ &= f_a(a^{-1}xa) \\ &= a(a^{-1}xa)a^{-1} \quad [\because f_a(x) = axa^{-1}] \\ &= aa^{-1}xaa^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$f_a \circ f_{a^{-1}}(x) = x$$

$$f_a \circ f_{a^{-1}} = I$$

iii) y,

$$f_{a^{-1}} \circ f_a = I$$

$$\therefore f_a \circ f_{a^{-1}} = f_{a^{-1}} \circ f_a = I$$

$$(f_a)^{-1} = f_{a^{-1}} \in \text{In}(G)$$

Also for any  $f_a, f_b \in \text{In}(G) \Rightarrow f_{ab} \in \text{In}(G)$

if  $x \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_a \circ f_b)(x) &= f_a(f_b(x)) \\ &= f_a(bxb^{-1}) \\ &= a(bxb^{-1})a^{-1} \\ &= (ab)x(ab)^{-1} \\ &= f_{ab}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$f_a \circ f_b(x) = f_{ab}(x)$$

$$f_a \circ f_b = f_{ab} \in \text{In}(G)$$

Hence  $\text{In}(G)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ .

Next, we prove that  $\text{In}(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ . It only remains to prove that for any  $f_a \in \text{In}(G)$

$$f_a \in \text{In}(G), \sigma \in \mathcal{A}(G), \sigma \circ f_a \circ \sigma^{-1} \in \text{In}(G)$$

$$\text{Let } x \in G, \text{ then } (\sigma \circ f_a \circ \sigma^{-1})(x) = \sigma \circ f_a(\sigma^{-1}(x))$$

$$= \sigma \circ (a \sigma^{-1}(x) a^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma(a) \cdot \sigma \sigma^{-1}(x) \cdot \sigma(a^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma(a) x \sigma(a^{-1})$$

$$= f_{\sigma(a)}(x)$$

$$(\sigma \circ f_a \circ \sigma^{-1})(x) = f_{\sigma(a)}(x)$$

Hence,  $\sigma \circ f_a \circ \sigma^{-1} = f_{\sigma(a)} \in \text{In}(G)$

$\therefore \text{In}(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}(G)$

$$\text{In}(G) \cong \frac{G}{Z(G)}$$

We define a mapping  $g: G \rightarrow \text{In}(G)$  by

$$g(a) = f_a \quad \forall a \in G$$

$$\text{then } g(ab) = f_{ab} \\ = f_a \circ f_b$$

$$= g(a) \circ g(b)$$

$$g(ab) = g(a) \circ g(b)$$

Given that  $g$  is homomorphism,  $g$  is onto.

Since each member of inner automorphism of  $G$  is of the form  $f_a$  and by the definition  $f_a = g(a)$ . Then by applying fundamental theorem of homomorphism we

$$\text{get, } \text{In}(G) \cong \frac{G}{\ker(g)}$$

Claim:  $\ker g = Z(G)$

Now,  $a \in \ker g \Leftrightarrow g(a) = I$ , where  $I$  is identity element

$$f_a = I$$

$$f_a(x) = I(x)$$

$$axa^{-1} = x$$

$$ax = xa$$

Centre  $Z(G)$

$\downarrow$

$$ax = xa$$

Hence  $\ker g = Z(G)$

$$\therefore \text{In}(G) \cong \frac{G}{Z(G)}$$



### ④ Cayley's theorem: 2.9

Statement:

① Every group is isomorphic to a permutation group.

Proof

Let  $G$  be a group and let  $A(G)$  denote the group of all permutations of the set  $G$ .

For each  $a \in G$  define a map  $f_a: G \rightarrow G$  by  $f_a(x) = ax \quad \forall x \in G$ .

i)  $f_a$  is 1-1:

for any  $a \in G$ ,  $f_a(x) = f_a(y)$

$$ax = ay$$

$$x = y$$

$$\therefore f_a(x) = f_a(y) \Rightarrow x = y$$

Hence  $f_a$  is 1-1.

ii)  $f_a$  is onto:

$$\text{Further, } f_a(a^{-1}x) = a^{-1}(ax)$$

$$= aa^{-1}(x)$$

$$= ex$$

$$= x$$

$$f_a(a^{-1}x) = x$$

$f_a$  is onto.

Hence  $f_a \in A(G)$ .

Now for any  $a, b \in G$  &  $x \in G$

$$(f_a \circ f_b)(x) = f_a(f_b(x))$$

$$= f_a(bx)$$

$$= a(bx)$$

$$= (ab)(x)$$

$$(f_a \circ f_b)(x) = f_{ab}(x)$$

$$f_a \circ f_b = f_{ab}$$

Now,  $\sigma: G \rightarrow A(G)$  by  $\sigma(a) = f_a \quad \forall a \in G$

Then for all  $a, b \in G$

$$\sigma(ab) = f_{ab}$$

$$= f_a \circ f_b$$

$$= \sigma(a) \circ \sigma(b)$$

$$\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a) \circ \sigma(b)$$

Moreover,  $\sigma(a) = \sigma(b)$

$$f_a = f_b$$

$$f_a(e) = f_b(e)$$

$$ae = be$$

$$a = b$$

$$\therefore \sigma_a = \sigma_b \Rightarrow a = b$$

Thus,  $\sigma$  is 1-1 and Homomorphism of  $G$  into  $A(G)$ .

Hence,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\sigma(G)$  which being a subgroup of  $A(G)$  is a permutation group.

Thm:

If  $G$  is a group,  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $S$  is the set of all right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

Then there is a homomorphism  $\theta$  of  $G$  into  $A(S)$  and the kernel of  $\theta$  is a largest normal subgroup of  $G$  which is contained in  $H$ .

Proof

Define  $\theta: G \rightarrow A(S)$  by  $\theta(g) = T(g)$

where  $T_g(xH) = gxH \quad \forall xH \in S$

Firstly, we show that  $T_g \in A(S)$

clearly,  $Tg : S \rightarrow S$

i)  $Tg$  is 1-1:

$$Tg(x_H) = Tg(y_H) \quad \forall x_H, y_H \in S$$

$$\Rightarrow gx_H = gy_H$$

$$\Rightarrow (gy)^{-1}(gx) \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{-1}y^{-1}(gx) \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow y^{-1}x \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow x_H = y_H$$

$$\therefore Tg(x_H) = Tg(y_H) \Rightarrow x_H = y_H$$

Since,  $Tg$  is 1-1.

ii)  $Tg$  is onto:

For any left coset  $x_H \in S$  can be written

as  $g(g^{-1}x_H)$ .

$$\text{i.e.) } Tg(g^{-1}x_H) = g(g^{-1}x_H)$$

$$= gg^{-1}x_H$$

$$= ex_H$$

$$Tg(g^{-1}x_H) = x_H$$

$\therefore Tg$  is onto.

Consequently,  $Tg \in A(S)$

$$\text{WKT, } \theta(g) = Tg$$

$$\text{Again, } \theta(gh) = Tgh$$

$$\text{Where } Tgh(x_H) = gh(x_H)$$

$$= Tg(hx_H)$$

$$= Tg h(x_H)$$

$$Tgh(x_H) = Tg T_h(x_H)$$

$$Tgh = Tg T_h$$

$$\theta gh = \theta g \theta h$$

$\theta$  is homomorphism from  $G$  into  $A(S)$ .

Now  $g \in \ker \theta \Rightarrow T_g = I$  where  $I$  is the identity element in  $A(S)$ .

$$\Rightarrow T_g(eH) = eH$$

$$\Rightarrow geH = eH$$

$$\Rightarrow gH = H$$

$$\Rightarrow g \in H$$

$$\text{i.e.) } g \in \ker \theta \text{ \& } g \in H \Rightarrow \underline{\ker \theta \subseteq H}$$

Further if  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $N$ .

Then for each  $n \in N$

$$\theta(n) = T_n \text{ where } T_n(xH) = nxH$$

$$= xx^{-1}nxH$$

$$= x(x^{-1}nx)H \quad \forall x \in G$$

$$\text{But } I = br_1, [(1s_2)(1s_3)(1s_4) \dots (1s_t)] \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

$$(b \neq r_1, s_2, s_2 \dots s_t \in S)$$

With none of  $s_i = r_1$ , and some  $b \neq r_1$ , in  $S$ .

Since  $r_1$  is left fixed by  $1s_2, 1s_3, \dots$

We get in  $\textcircled{1}$  that the right hand side [RHS]

gives the image of  $r_1$  is  $b$ .

Which is a contradiction, since  $I$  being

identity element. The image of  $r_1$  should be  $r_1$ ,

itself. Hence the result.

Now,  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$

$$x^{-1}nx \in N \subseteq H$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{-1}nx \in H$$

$$\text{i.e.) } T_n(xH) = xH \quad \forall x \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow T_n = I$$

Hence  $n \in \ker \theta$

$$\text{i.e.) } n \in N \text{ \& } n \in \ker \theta$$

$$(\because \ker \theta \subseteq H)$$

$$N \subseteq \ker \theta$$

Hence, the kernel  $\theta$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  which is contained in  $H$ .

Lemma:

If  $G$  is a finite group and  $H \neq G$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Such that  $|G| \nmid |H|$  (does not divide  $|H|$ ). Then  $H$  must contain a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . In particular,  $G$  can't be

Simple.

Proof

By the previous thm,  $\ker \theta \subseteq H$

$$\because H \neq G \Rightarrow \ker \theta \neq G$$

Further if  $\ker \theta = \{e\}$  where  $e$  is the identity element in  $G$ .

Then  $\frac{G}{\ker \theta} \cong T$  where  $T$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

Given that  $|G| = |T| \Rightarrow |G| = |T| \Rightarrow |G| = |T| \Rightarrow |G| = |T| \Rightarrow |G| = |T|$

$$O(A(S)).$$

$$\text{But } O(A(S)) = |H|!$$

$$\Rightarrow |G| / |H|!$$

which is against a hypothesis.

Hence  $\ker \theta \neq \{e\}$ . Thus  $H$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ .

### Permutation groups:

Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . For any mapping  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is called transformation. Let  $X$  be a non-empty finite set. A one to one (1-1), onto mapping,  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is called a permutation.

The number of elements of the finite set  $X$ , this known as degree of permutation.

### ~~Symbol~~ Symbol of Permutation:

Let  $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $a_i \neq a_j$ . Then,  $X$  contains  $n$  distinct elements. Let  $f$  be a permutation on  $X$  such that  $f(a_i) = b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

The elements  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  are nothing but the arrangement of  $n$  elements of  $X$ .

i.e.)  $f = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) (f(a_1) f(a_2) \dots f(a_n))\}$

We write,

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

( $a_1$ ) ( $a_2$ )      ( $f(a_1)$ ) ( $f(a_2)$ )

Equality of two Permutation :

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two permutation on the set  $S$ . Then, we define

$$f = g \text{ if } f(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Note:

= A permutation  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix}$  can be

expressed as follows :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_i & \dots & a_{n-1} & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_i & \dots & b_{n-1} & b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Sometimes, the interchange of column can't use in the natural permutation.

Total number of Permutation :

Let  $X$  be the set of all  $n$  distinct elements and  $X$  can be written in  $n!$  different ways. If  $P_n$  be the set containing of all Permutation of degree  $n$ .

Thus,  $P_n = \{ X / f_i, f \text{ is a permutation of degree } n \}$ .

This set  $P_n$  is called set of Permutation of degree  $n$  and denote the symbol  $S_n$ .

Identity Permutation :

A Permutation  $I$  on  $X$  is called identity

Permutation if  $I(x) = x, \forall x \in X$ .

Inverse permutation:

$$\text{If } f = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a}$$

Permutation on  $X$ . Then  $f^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \end{pmatrix}$

is called inverse permutation of  $f$ .

Product Composition of two Permutation:

Let  $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  and

$f: X \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be onto and 1-1 maps.

Then  $f$  and  $g$  are permutation of degree  $n$ .

Clearly,  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow X$  and also

$f \circ g: X \rightarrow X$  are one to one and onto

belongs. Hence  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are permutation of

degree  $n$ .

① Eg!  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  &  $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$

Find  $\phi\psi$ .

Soln

$$\phi\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Cyclic permutation: [Initial & Final are same]

Let  $S$  be a finite sets. A permutation

of  $S$  is said to be a cyclic permutation

(or) a cyclic if there exists an elements



$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  in  $S$ . Such that  $f(a_1) = a_2, f(a_2) = a_3, \dots, f(a_{n-1}) = a_n, f(a_n) = a_1$ ,  
 and for any  $j \in S$  different from  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ ,  $f(j) = j$ , we denote  $f$  by the symbol  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ . This notation of  $f$  is called a  
 one row notation.

Further,  $n$  is called the length of the cycle of  $f$ . A cycle of length  $n$  is also called a  $n$ -cycle.

Orbit:

A permutation  $f$  of a set  $S$  is cycle if  $S$  has atmost  $f$  orbit having more than one element.

Eg: ① Let  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Then  $(1\ 3\ 2)$  denote the permutation  $f$  of  $S$ . Such that  $f(1) = 3, f(3) = 2, f(2) = 1, f(4) = 4$ . Thus in the two rowed notation of  $f$  we have.

$$(1\ 3\ 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\therefore f$  is a cyclic permutation of length 3.

$$\text{i.e.) } (1\ 3\ 2) = (2\ 3\ 1) = (3\ 2\ 1)$$

②  $(1\ 2)$  is a cyclic permutation of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  of length 2.

$$(1\ 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eg: orbit of Permutation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Find orbit of Permutation.}$$

$$\text{Soln} \Rightarrow \text{orbit} = (1 \ 2 \ 3) (4 \ 5)$$

Transposition:

A cycle of length 2 is called Transposition

$$\text{Eg: } \theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 2 & 3 & 8 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 7 & 5 & 9 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Find}$$

orbit and cycle of  $\theta$ .

Soln

$$1\theta = 2 \quad ; \quad 1\theta^2 = 1\theta \cdot \theta = 2\theta = 3$$

$$1\theta^3 = 1\theta^2 \cdot \theta = 3\theta = 8$$

$$1\theta^4 = 1\theta^3 \cdot \theta = 8\theta = 5$$

$$1\theta^5 = 1\theta^4 \cdot \theta = 5\theta = 6$$

$$1\theta^6 = 1\theta^5 \cdot \theta = 6\theta = 4$$

$$1\theta^7 = 1\theta^6 \cdot \theta = 4\theta = 1$$

$\therefore$  orbit of 1 is the set  $\{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 8 \ 5 \ 6 \ 4\}$

Orbit of 7 & 9 is  $\{7\}, \{9\}$

cycle is  $(1), (9), (1, 1\theta, 1\theta^2, 1\theta^3, 1\theta^4, 1\theta^5, 1\theta^6,$

$$1\theta^7) = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 8 \ 5 \ 6 \ 4)$$

Disjoint Permutation:

Two permutations  $f$  and  $g$  of a set  $X$  are said to be disjoint if they satisfy the following conditions:

$$i) \text{ for any } j \in X, f(j) \neq j \Rightarrow g(j) = j$$

ii) for any  $j \in X$ ,  $g(j) \neq j \Rightarrow f(j) = j$

(i.e) If any element of  $X$  is moved by  $f$ , then it is left fixed by  $g$  and if any element of  $X$  is moved by  $g$ , then it is left fixed by  $f$ .

Eg: Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ ,  $f = (1\ 3\ 2)$  and

$g = (4\ 5)$

By the definition,

(i)  $f(1) = 3$ ,  $f(2) = 1$ ,  $f(3) = 2$  but  $g(1) = 1$

$g(2) = 2$ ,  $g(3) = 3$ .

(ii)  $g(4) = 5$ ,  $g(5) = 4$  but  $f(4) = 4$ ,  $f(5) = 5$

This shows that  $f$  and  $g$  are disjoint permutations.

Eg: Let  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  and  $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$

Soln

The orbit of 1.

Consider of  $1\theta = 2$

$$1\theta^2 = 1\theta \cdot \theta = 2\theta = 1$$

The orbit of 1 is a set of elements 1 & 2.

The orbit of 2 is a same set of elements 1 & 2.

The orbit of 3 consist just of 3.

The orbit of 4 consist of a set of elements

4, 5 and 6.

$$4\theta = 5$$

$$4\theta^2 = 4\theta \cdot \theta = 5\theta = 6$$

$$4\theta^3 = 4\theta^2 \cdot \theta = 6\theta = 4$$

$\therefore$  The cycle of  $\theta$  are  $(1\ 2)$ ,  $(3)$ ,  $(4\ 5\ 6)$ .

Even or odd permutation:

A permutation  $f$  of a finite non-empty set  $S$  is said to be even or odd according as  $f$  is expressible as a product of even or odd number of transposition.

Thm

Every permutation is a product of its cycle.

Proof

Let  $\theta$  be the permutation. Then its cycles are of the form  $(s, s\theta, s\theta^2, \dots, s\theta^{t-1})$  by the multiplication of cycles, we know that,

The cycle of  $\theta$  are disjoint.

The image of  $s' \in S$  under  $\theta$  which is  $s'\theta$  is the same as the image of  $s'$  under the product,  $\psi$  of all the distinct cycle of  $\theta$ . So  $\theta, \psi$  have the same effect on every element on  $S$ .

Hence  $\theta = \psi$ .

$\therefore$  Every permutation is a product of its cycle.

Lemma: (16)

Every permutation is a product of 2-cycles.

Proof

Consider the  $m$ -cycles  $(1, 2, 3, \dots, m)$ .

A simple computation shows that  $(1, 2)(1, 3), \dots, (1, m) = (1, 2, 3, \dots, m) =$

More generally the  $m$  cycles,

$$(a, a_2, \dots, a_m) = (a, a_2)(a, a_3) \dots (a, a_m).$$

This decomposition is not unique by this, we mean that an  $m$  cycle can be written as a product of 2-cycles in more than one way.

For instance,  $(1, 2, 3) = (1, 2)(1, 3) = (3, 2)(3, 1)$

Since every permutation is a product of disjoint cycles and every cycle is a product of 2-cycles.

Hence the proof.

## UNIT-3

### Another Counting Principle

Definition: Conjugate.

If  $a, b \in G$ . Then  $b$  is said to be a

Conjugate of  $a$  in  $G$  if there exists an element

$$c \in G \text{ s.t. } b = c^{-1}ac$$

Symbol  $a \sim b$  is denote that  $a$  is conjugate of  $b$ .

Lemma:

The relation of conjugacy is an equivalence relation on  $G$

Proof

i) Reflexivity:  $a \sim a$

$$a = a^{-1}aa \quad \forall a \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow a \sim a$$

ii) Symmetry:  $a \sim b \Rightarrow b \sim a$

$$\text{Now } a \sim b \Rightarrow a = x^{-1}bx \quad \forall x \in G, a, b \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow b = x^{-1}ax$$

$$b \sim a$$

$$\text{Hence } a \sim b \Rightarrow b \sim a$$

iii) Transitivity:

$$a \sim b, b \sim c \Rightarrow a \sim c$$

$$a \sim b, b \sim c \Rightarrow a = x^{-1}bx, b = y^{-1}cy$$

$$\forall x, y \in G$$

$$a = x^{-1}(y^{-1}cy)x$$

$$a = x^{-1}y^{-1}c \quad yx$$

$$a = (yx)^{-1}c \quad yx$$

$$a \sim c$$

Hence  $a \sim b, b \sim c \Rightarrow a \sim c$ .

Definition: (19)

Centralizer (or) Normalizer of an element.

For any element  $a \in G$ , the set  $N(a)$

$$N(a) = \{x \in G \mid ax = xa\}$$
 is called

Normalizer (or) Centralizer of  $a$  in  $G$ .

Lemma (20)

$N(a)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

21/8/19

Proof

If  $e$  is an identity element of  $G$ ,

$$\text{then } ea = ae$$

$$\Rightarrow e \in N(a)$$

So that  $N(a) \neq \emptyset$

Let  $x, y \in N(a)$

$$(xy)a = x(ya)$$

$$= x(ay)$$

$$= (xa)y$$

$$= (ax)y$$

$$(xy)a = a(xy)$$

$$\therefore xy \in N(a) \Rightarrow xy \in N(a)$$

$$\text{Again, } xa = ax$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{-1}a = ax^{-1}$$

$$x \in N(a) \Rightarrow x^{-1} \in N(a)$$

$\therefore N(a)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

### Theorem

If  $G$  is a finite group, then  $C_a = \frac{O(G)}{O(N(a))}$

(or) The number of elements conjugate to  $a$  in  $G$  is the index of the normaliser of  $a$  in  $G$ .

### Proof

Let  $O(G) = n$

If  $N(a)$  has  $t$  distinct right cosets,

$N(a)x_1, N(a)x_2, \dots, N(a)x_t$ .

Then, we know that,  $t = \frac{O(G)}{O(N(a))}$

Now, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ ,

$$x_i^{-1} a x_i = x_j^{-1} a x_j$$

$$a = x_i x_j^{-1} a x_j x_i^{-1}$$

$$(x_i x_j^{-1}) a (x_i x_j^{-1})^{-1} = a$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_i x_j^{-1}) a = a (x_i x_j^{-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i x_j^{-1} \in N(a)$$

$$\Rightarrow N(a)x_i = N(a)x_j$$

$$\Rightarrow i = j$$

Since,  $N(a)x_i$ 's are all distinct elements.

$$\text{Hence } x_i^{-1} a x_i = x_j^{-1} a x_j$$

$$\Rightarrow i = j$$

So,  $x_1^{-1} a x_1, x_2^{-1} a x_2, \dots, x_t^{-1} a x_t$  are all

distinct conjugate of  $a$ .

If we show that, these are the only conjugate of  $a$ .



Then, it follows that  $C_a$  (or)  $C(a)$  contains only  $t$ -elements.

$$\text{i.e.) } C_a = t = \frac{O(G)}{O(N(a))}$$

Consider for some  $x \in G$ ,  $b = x^{-1}ax$

Since,  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^t N(a)x_i$ ,  $x = cx_i$  for some  $c \in N(a)$

and some +ve integers  $i$ .

$$\therefore x^{-1}ax = (cx_i)^{-1}a(cx_i)$$

$$= x_i^{-1}(c^{-1}a c)x_i$$

$$= x_i^{-1}(c^{-1}ax)c x_i$$

$$= x_i^{-1}ax_i$$

Hence, any conjugate  $b$  of  $a$  is equal to 1 of  $x_i^{-1}ax_i$ .

This proves that  $a$  has only  $t$  conjugate

$x_i^{-1}ax_i$ ,  $i = 1$  to  $t$ .

Hence the proof.

26) 8) Definition:

Let  $G$  be a finite group. The equation

$$O(G) = O(Z(G)) + \sum_a \frac{O(G)}{a O(N(a))}$$

where  $\sum_a$  the sum runs over element  $a$ , taken

one from each of those distinct conjugate

~~classes~~ classes which contains more than one

elements. It is called the class equation of the

group  $G$ .

Thm (1) (2)  
 If  $|G| = p^n$  where  $p$  is a prime number then  $Z(G) \neq \{e\}$

Proof

Let  $|Z(G)| = z$

By the definition,

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum \frac{|G|}{|N(a)|}$$

$$p^n = z + \sum \frac{|G|}{|N(a)|} \rightarrow (1)$$

Where sum runs over elements  $a$ ,

taken 1 from each of conjugate classes

$C_a(a) = N(a)$  which has more than 1 elements.

Now for each  $a \notin Z(G)$

$$|N(a)| < |G| = p^n \text{ and}$$

$$|N(a)| / |G| \text{ gives } |N(a)| = p^{n_a} \text{ for}$$

some  $1 \leq n_a < n$ .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p}{|N(a)|}$$

Further hence from equ (1) we get,

$$\frac{p}{z}$$

this proves that  $\frac{p}{|Z(G)|}$

$$\Rightarrow |Z(G)| > 1$$

$$\Rightarrow Z(G) \neq \{e\}$$

### Corollary

If  $O(G) = p^2$  where  $p$  is a prime number.  
Then  $G$  is abelian.

Proof

A group  $G$  is abelian iff  $Z(G) = G$ .

It is sufficient to show that  $O(G) = p^2$

$$O(G) = p^2 \Rightarrow Z(G) = G$$

Given that  $O(G) = p^2$

By Lagrange's thm,  $O(Z(G)) \mid p^2$

$$\therefore O(Z(G)) = 1, p \text{ (or) } p^2$$

By the previous thm,  $O(Z(G)) \neq 1$ .

$$O(Z(G)) = p \text{ (or) } p^2$$

Suppose  $O(Z(G)) = p$

Consider  $a \in G \nexists: a \notin Z(G)$

Since for every  $b \in Z(G)$ ,

$$ab = ba, b \in N(a)$$

$$\text{Thus } Z(G) \subseteq N(a)$$

Also  $a \in N(a)$  but  $a \notin Z(G)$

$$\text{So } N(a) \neq Z(G)$$

Consequently,  $O(N(a)) > O(Z(G)) = p$

$$\text{But } O(Z(G)) \mid p^2$$

$$\text{Thus } O(Z(G)) = p^2 \text{ and } N(a) = G$$

$\Rightarrow a \in Z(G)$  which is contradiction  $a \notin Z(G)$

$$\therefore O(Z(G)) = p^2$$

$$\text{Hence } G = Z(G)$$

28/8/19 Cauchy's theorem for finite group:

Statement:

If  $p$  is a prime number and  $\frac{p}{o(G)}$  then  $G$  has an element of order  $p$ .

Proof:

Suppose an element  $a \neq e \in G \Rightarrow a^p = e$

To prove that, theorem by induction hypothesis on  $o(G)$ .

We assume that the theorem is true for all groups  $T$  such that  $T \subseteq G$   
 $o(T) < o(G)$

The induction for the result is true for a group of order 1.

Now, For any subgroup  $W$  of  $G$ ,  $W \neq G$ .

$$\text{i.e.) } \frac{p}{o(W)}$$

Then by our induction hypothesis there would exist an element of order  $p$  in  $W$  or  $G$ . Thus we may assume that  $p$  is not a divisor of the order of any proper subgroup of  $G$ .

$$\text{If } a \notin Z(G), N(a) \neq G, \frac{p}{o(G)}$$

WKT, the class equation is

$$o(G) = o(Z(G)) + \sum_{N(a) \neq G} \frac{o(G)}{o(N(a))}$$

$$\therefore \frac{p}{o(G)} = \frac{p}{o(N(a))}$$

We have 
$$\frac{P}{\frac{o(G)}{o(N(a))}}$$

$$\Rightarrow P \mid \sum_{N(a) \neq G} \frac{o(G)}{o(N(a))}$$

$$\therefore P \mid o(G) \Rightarrow P \mid \left( o(G) - \sum_{N(a) \neq G} \frac{o(G)}{o(N(a))} \right) = o(Z(G))$$

Since  $Z(G)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , whose order is divisible by  $P$  but we've assumed that  $P$  is not a divisor of the order of any proper subgroup of  $G$ .

$\Rightarrow Z(G)$  cannot be a proper subgroup of  $G$

$$\Rightarrow P \mid o(Z(G))$$

$$\Rightarrow Z(G) = G$$

$\therefore Z(G)$  is an abelian.

Hence  $G$  is an abelian.

Direct product:

29) 8) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two groups and consider the Cartesian product  $G = A \times B$ ,  $G$  consists of all ordered pair  $(a, b)$  where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

We can introduce an operation  $*$  in  $G$ .

i.e) For ~~through~~ <sup>two</sup> element  $(a_1, b_1)$  and  $(a_2, b_2)$  in  $G$ .

The product is defined as

$$(a_1, b_1) * (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2)$$

Here, the product  $a_1 a_2$  in the first component is a product of the elements  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  in the group  $A$ .

The product  $b_1 b_2$  in the second component is a product of the elements  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  in the group  $B$ .

Internal direct product: (22)

Let  $G$  be a group and  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n$  be normal subgroups of a group  $G$  such that

(i)  $G = N_1 N_2 \dots N_n$

(ii) Given  $g \in G$ , then  $g = m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$ ;  $m_i \in N_i$ .

In a unique way, we say that  $G$  is an internal direct product of  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n$ .

External direct product:

Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  be a finite number of

groups and  $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \dots \times G_n$ . Then  $G$  is a

group under binary composition defined by

$$ab = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots, a_n b_n) \quad \forall a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

$$\text{and } b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n).$$

This group is called the external direct product of  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$ .

Lemma (23)

Suppose that  $G$  is the internal direct product of  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n$ . Then for  $i \neq j$ ,  $N_i \cap N_j = \{e\}$  and if  $a \in N_i, b \in N_j$ . Then  $ab = ba$

30/8  
Proof

Let  $x \in N_i \cap N_j$

To prove that  $x = e$

Suppose  $x$  is an element of  $N_i$ .

$$\text{i.e.) } x = e_1 e_2 \dots e_{i-1} x e_{i+1} \dots e_n$$

where  $e_t = e$

Similarly,  $x$  is an element of  $N_j$

$$\text{i.e.) } x = e_1 e_2 \dots e_{j-1} x e_{j+1} \dots e_n$$

where  $e_t = e$

Every element has a unique representation of the form  $m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$  where  $m_i \in N_i, \dots, m_n \in N_n$

$\therefore$  The two decomposition of  $x$  in this form must coincide.

i.e.) entry form of each  $N_i$  must be equal the entry so ~~from~~  $N_i$  is  $x$  and in the other it is  $e$ .

Hence,  $x = e$

Thus  $N_i \cap N_j = \{e\}$  for  $i \neq j$

Take the elements  $a \in N_i, b \in N_j$  &  $i \neq j$

Then,  $ab a^{-1} b^{-1} \in N_i, N_j$  being normal subgroup of  $G$ .

i.e.)  $ab a^{-1} b^{-1} \in N_i$

iii)  $a^{-1} \in N_i$  and  $ba^{-1}b^{-1} \in N_i$

$\Rightarrow aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in N_i$

$\Rightarrow aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in N_i$  and  $N_j$

$\Rightarrow aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in N_i \cap N_j = \{e\}$

$$aba^{-1}b^{-1} = e$$

Hence  $ab = ba$

If  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n$  are normal subgroup of  $G$   
 $\exists: G = K_1 K_2 \dots K_n$  and  $K_i \cap K_j = \{e\}$  for  $i \neq j$ .

i.e)  $G$  becomes the internal direct product.

iff  $K_i \cap (K_1 K_2 \dots K_{i-1} K_{i+1} \dots K_n) = \{e\}$ , where  
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Statement:

Let  $G$  be a group and suppose that  $G$  is  
the internal direct product of  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n$ . Let  
 $T = N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_n$ . Then  $G$  and  $T$  are isomorphic.

Proof

Define the mapping  $\phi: T \rightarrow G$  defined by

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \text{ where each}$$

$$x_i \in N_i \text{ (} i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{)}$$

To prove that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $T$   
onto  $G$ . Suppose  $G$  is the internal direct  
product of  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n$ .



If  $x \in G$ , then  $x = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  ( $a_i \in N_i$ ).  $(P_m^d - P_{d+1}^d)$

$$\phi(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = x$$

$\therefore \phi$  is onto.

Let us make use by the uniqueness property of internal direct product to prove that  $\phi$  is 1-1.

Suppose that  $\phi(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \phi(c_1 c_2 \dots c_n)$

where  $a_i \in N_i, c_i \in N_i$  for  $i = 1$  to  $n$ .

By the definition of  $\phi, a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = c_1 c_2 \dots c_n$

By uniqueness property, we have

$$a_1 = c_1, a_2 = c_2, \dots, a_n = c_n$$

$\therefore \phi$  is 1-1.

To prove that  $\phi$  is homomorphism of  $T$  onto  $G$ .

Let  $x = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n), y = (b_1 b_2 \dots b_n)$  be elements of  $T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \phi(xy) &= \phi((a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)(b_1 b_2 \dots b_n)) \\ &= \phi(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_n b_n) \\ &= a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_n b_n \end{aligned}$$

By the lemma,

$$a_i b_j = b_j a_i \text{ if } i \neq j$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_n b_n = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$$

$$\text{i.e.) } \phi(xy) = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)(b_1 b_2 \dots b_n)$$

But,  $\phi(x) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \quad \epsilon$

$\phi(y) = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$

$\therefore \phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$

Hence ~~Since~~  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $T$  onto  $G$ .

Thm: 3.17

Let  $C$  be the set of all symbols  $(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers.

23/9/19 Sylow's theorem

Sylow's first theorem:

If  $p$  is a prime number and  $\frac{p^\alpha}{o(G)}$ ,

then  $G$  has a subgroup of order  $p^\alpha$

Proof

The number of ways of picking a subset of  $k$  elements from a set of  $n$  elements.

i.e)  $nC_k = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$

Let  $n = p^\alpha m$ ,  $k = p^\alpha$  with  $p$  is a

prime number and  $\frac{p^r}{m}$  but  $\frac{p^{r+1}}{m} \rightarrow$  does not divide

We have;  $\binom{p^\alpha m}{p^\alpha} = \frac{(p^\alpha m)!}{(p^\alpha)! (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha)!}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{p^\alpha m (p^\alpha m - 1) \dots (p^\alpha m - i) \dots (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha + 1)}{p^\alpha (p^\alpha - 1) \dots (p^\alpha - i) \dots (p^\alpha - p^\alpha + 1)} \\
 &= \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha + 1) (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha) \dots (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha + 1)}{(p^\alpha m - 1) (p^\alpha m)} \\
 &= \frac{(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots (p-1))^\alpha p^\alpha (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha)}{(p^\alpha m - p^\alpha)! (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha + 1) \dots (p^\alpha m - 1)} \\
 &= \frac{(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots p^\alpha) (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha)!}{(p^\alpha m - p^\alpha)! (p^\alpha m - p^\alpha + 1) \dots (p^\alpha m - 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us find the power of  $P$  that divides  $\binom{p^\alpha m}{p^\alpha}$ .

We note that,  $\frac{p^k}{(p^\alpha - i)}$  iff  $p^k / i$

i.e) iff  $p^k / (p^\alpha - i)$  where  $k \leq \alpha$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p^\alpha - 1$

$\therefore$  All powers of  $P$  cancel out except the powers which divides  $m$ .

Thus  $p^\alpha \nmid \binom{p^\alpha m}{p^\alpha}$  and  $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid \binom{p^\alpha m}{p^\alpha}$

Let us now prove that

Take  $M$  set of all subsets of  $G$  which have  $p^\alpha$  elements.

Thus,  $M$  has  $\binom{p^\alpha m}{p^\alpha}$  elements. Let us define a relation  $\sim$  in  $M$ .

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two elements of  $M$ .

If  $\exists$  an element  $g$  in  $G$   $\exists$  :  $M_1 = M_2 g$

We can show that this is an equivalence relation on  $M$ .

i) Reflexive:

$\therefore M_1 = M_1 e$ , where  $e$  is the identity in  $G$ .

$$M_1 \sim M_1$$

ii) Symmetric:

$$M_1 \sim M_2 \Rightarrow M_1 = M_2 g$$

$$\Rightarrow M_2 = M_1 g^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow M_2 \sim M_1$$

iii) Transitive:

If  $M_1 \sim M_2$  and  $M_2 \sim M_3$ , we have

$$M_1 = M_2 g_1 \text{ and } M_2 = M_3 g_2$$

$$\text{i.e.) } M_1 = (M_3 g_2) g_1$$

$$= M_3 g_2 g_1$$

$$\Rightarrow M_1 \sim M_3$$

Hence the relation is an equivalence relation

on  $M$ .

This equivalence relation gives rise to a partition of  $M$  into equivalence classes.

We shall now show that  $\exists$  at least one equivalence class  $\mathcal{G}$ : the number of elements in this class is not a multiple of  $p^{r+1}$ .

If  $p^{r+1}$  divides the number of elements in each equivalence class then  $p^{r+1}$  would divide the number of elements in  $M$ .

This is not true.

$\therefore M$  has  $\left| \begin{matrix} p^\alpha M \\ p^\alpha \end{matrix} \right|$  elements and  $p^{r+1} \nmid \left( \frac{p^\alpha M}{p^\alpha} \right)$

Let  $(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n)$  be such a partition of  $M$  into equivalence classes where  $p^{r+1} \nmid n$ .

To prove that Subgroup,

Take  $H = \{ g \in G \mid M_i g_i = M_i \} \forall a, b \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} M_i ab &= (M_i a) b \\ &= M_i b \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore M_i ab = M_i$$

$$a, b \in H \Rightarrow ab \in H$$

i.e.)  $H$  is closed under multiplication.

$G$  being a finite group.

$\therefore H$  is a Subgroup

Now, we show that  $O(H) = p^\alpha$

So that  $H$  turns to be required subgroup of  $G$ .

We show that there is a 1-1 correspondence between the elements in the equivalence class  $(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n)$  and the right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$

$$M_i g = M_i g' \Rightarrow M_i g (g')^{-1} = M_i$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (gg')^{-1} \in H$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Hg = Hg'$$

Hence,  $n =$  number of right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$

$$= \frac{O(G)}{O(H)}$$

$$\text{|||ly } n = \frac{O(G)}{O(H)}$$

$$n O(H) = O(G) = p^\alpha m$$

$$p^{r+s} \cancel{X_n} \{ \cancel{p^{\alpha+r}} / n O(H) \} = p^\alpha m$$

$$\Rightarrow p^\alpha / O(H) \{ \cancel{p^{\alpha+r}} / O(H) \} \geq p^\alpha$$

If  $m_1 \in M_i$ , then for all  $h \in H, m_1 h \in M_i$ ,

Thus  $M_i$  has at least  $O(H)$  distinct elements.

However  $M_i$  is a subset of  $G$  containing  $p^\alpha$  elements.

$$\therefore p^\alpha \geq O(H)$$

We have already shown that

$$O(H) \geq p^\alpha$$

$$\therefore O(H) = p^\alpha$$

Hence  $H$  is the required subgroup of  $G$  having  $p^\alpha$  elements.

Lemma:

$S_p K$  has a  $p$ -Sylow Subgroup.

Proof

We use induction on  $k$ .

When  $k=1$ ,  $S_p$  has an element  $(1, 2, \dots, p)$  of order  $p$  & a subgroup of order  $p$  is generated.

Thus the result is true for  $k=1$ .

Suppose that the result is true.



We shall show that it is true of  $k$

Divide the integers  $1, 2, \dots, p^k$  into  $p$  sets  
sets each with  $p^{k-1}$  elements as follows

$$(1, 2, \dots, p^{k-1}), (p^{k-1} + 1, p^{k-1} + 2, \dots, 2p^{k-1}), \dots \\ ((p-1)p^{k-1} + 1, \dots, p^k)$$

Let  $\sigma$  be the permutation given by

$$\sigma = (1, p^{k-1} + j, 2p^{k-1} + j, \dots, (p-1)p^{k-1} + j) \dots \\ (j, p^{k-1} + j, 2p^{k-1} + j, \dots, (p-1)p^{k-1} + j) \dots \\ p^{k-1}, 2p^{k-1}, \dots, (p-1)p^{k-1}, p^k)$$

The following properties are true.

$$1) \sigma^p = e$$

2) If  $\theta$  is a permutation leaving all  $i$ s  
fixed

for  $i > p^{k-1}$  ( $\theta$  is  $1, 2, \dots, p^{k-1}$ ), then  $\sigma^{-1} \theta \sigma$   
moves elements in  $(p^{k-1} + 1, p^{k-1} + 2, \dots, 2p^{k-1})$  & in  
general  $\sigma^{-j} \theta \sigma^j$  moves elements in  $(jp^{k-1} + 1, jp^{k-1} + 2, \dots, (j+1)p^{k-1})$ .

Consider  $A = \{ \theta \in S_{p^k} \mid \theta(i) = i \text{ if } i > p^{k-1} \}$

$A$  is a subgroup of  $S_{p^k}$  and elements in  $A$   
carry out permutation on  $1, 2, \dots, p^{k-1}$

Thus it follows that  $A$  is isomorphic to  
 $S_{p^{k-1}}$ .

By induction  $A$  has a subgroup  $B_1$  of order  $p^{n(k-1)}$ .

$$\text{Let } T = B_1 (\sigma^{-1} B_1 \sigma) (\sigma^{-2} B_1 \sigma^2) \dots (\sigma^{-(p-1)} B_1 \sigma^{p-1}) \\ = B_1 B_2 \dots B_{p-1} \text{ where } B_i = \sigma^{-i} B_1 \sigma^i$$

Each  $B_i$  is isomorphic to  $B_1$  & has order  $p^{n(k-1)}$ .

Moreover  $B_i$  are distinct & they also commute.

Thus  $T$  is a subgroup of  $S_{p^k}$ .

We have,  $B_i \cap B_j = \{e\}$  if  $0 \leq i \neq j \leq p-1$

$$\text{We find } o(T) = o(B_i)^p = p^{p \cdot n(k-1)}$$

$$\therefore \sigma^p = e \text{ \& } \sigma^{-i} B_i \sigma^i = B_i$$

$$\text{We get } \sigma^{-1} T \sigma = T$$

$$\text{Put } P = \{ \sigma^j t \mid t \in T, 0 \leq j \leq p-1 \}$$

$$\therefore \sigma \notin P \text{ \& } \sigma^{-1} T \sigma = T$$

We get two things

(i)  $T$  is a subgroup of  $S_{p^k}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } o(P) &= p \cdot o(T) \\ &= p \cdot p^{n(k-1)p} \\ &= p^{n(k-1)p+1} \end{aligned}$$

Now  $P$  is the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $S_{p^k}$

It is order in  $p^{n(k-1)p+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e.) } n(k-1) &= 1 + p + \dots + p^{k-2} \text{ \& } p^{n(k-1)+1} \\ &= 1 + p + \dots + p^{k-1} \\ &= n(k) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $O(p) = p^{n(k)}$  \&  $p$  is the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $S_{p^k}$ .

$$\therefore \delta^p = e \text{ \& } \delta^{-1} B_i \delta = B_i$$

We get,  $\delta^{-1} \tau \delta = \tau$

$$\text{Put } P = \{ \delta^j \tau / \tau \in T, 0 \leq j \leq p-1 \}$$

Def.

Let  $G$  be a group.  $H, B$  subgroup of  $G$ .

If  $x, y \in G$  define  $x \sim y$  if  $y = axb$  for some  $a \in A, b \in B$ .

Lemma: 2.12.1

$$n(k) = 1 + p + \dots + p^{k-1}$$

Proof

$$\text{If } k=1, p! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1) \cdot p$$

$$\therefore p/p! \text{ \& } p^2/p!$$

$$\text{Hence } n(1) = 1$$

In  $(p^k)!$  the multiples of  $p$  like  $p, 2p, \dots, p^{k-1}, p$

Contribute to the power of  $p$  dividing  $(p^k)!$

i.e.)  $n(k)$  is the power of  $p$  dividing  $p^{(2p)}(3p) \dots$

$$p^{(k-1)p} = p \cdot p^{(k-1)p} / (p^{k-1})!$$

$$\text{i.e.) } n(k) = p^{k-1} + n(k-1)$$

$$(iii) \quad n(k-1) = p^{k-2} + n(k-2) \text{ \& so on}$$

$$i.e) \quad n(k) - n(k-1) = p^{k-1}$$

$$n(k-1) - n(k-2) = p^{k-2}$$

$$n(2) - n(1) = p$$

$$n(1) = 1$$

$$\text{Adding} \quad n(k) = 1 + p + \dots + p^{k-1}$$

Thm Third Part of Sylow's thm

The number of  $p$ -Sylow subgroups in  $G$  for a  $p \nmid n$  prime, is of the form  $1 + kp$

Proof

Let  $A$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ .

Suppose that  $G$  is decomposed into double cosets of  $A \& A$ .

$$\text{Thus } G = \bigcup AxA$$

$$o(AxA) = \frac{o(A) o(A)}{o(A \cap xAx^{-1})}$$

$$= \frac{o(A^2)}{o(A \cap xAx^{-1})}$$

If  $A \cap xAx^{-1} \neq A$ , then  $p^{n+1} / o(AxA)$  where

$$p^n = o(A)$$

i.e) if  $x \notin N(A)$  then  $p^{n+1} / o(AxA)$

Also if  $x \in N(A)$ , then  $AxA = A(Ax)$   
 $= A^2x = Ax$

$$\therefore O(AxA) = O(Ax)$$

$$= O(A)$$

$$= pn$$

Now,  $O(G) = \sum_{x \in N} O(AxA) + \sum O(AxA)$

where each sum runs over one element from each double coset.

If  $x \in N(A)$ , then  $AxA = Ax$  and the first sum is  $\sum_{x \in N(A)} O(Ax)$  over the distinct

cosets of  $A$  in  $N(A)$ .

(i.e) the first sum is just  $O(N(A))$

In the ~~second~~ second sum, each two is divisible

by  $p^{n+1}$   $\sum_{x \notin N(A)} O(AxA)$

Thus, we can write the second sum as

$$\sum_{x \notin N(A)} O(AxA) = p^{n+1} u$$

$$\therefore O(G) = O(N(A)) + p^{n+1} u$$

Lemma:

If  $A, B$  are finite subgroups of  $G$  then

$$O(AxB) = \frac{O(A) O(B)}{O(A \cap B)}$$

Proof

Third proof of Sylow's thm.

Thm 1.2-12-2 [second part of Sylow's thm]

If  $G$  is a finite group,  $p$  is prime &  
 $p^n \mid o(G)$  but  $p^{n+1} \nmid o(G)$  then any two subgroups  
of  $G$  of order  $p^n$  are conjugate.

Proof

Let  $A, B$  be subgroup of  $G$  with each of  
order  $p^n$ .

T.P.T :  $A = gBg^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$

Decompose  $G$  into double cosets of  $A$  &  $B$ .

$$\text{i.e.) } G = \cup AxB$$

We have 
$$o(AxB) = \frac{o(A)o(B)}{o(A \cap \dots Bx^{-1})}$$

S.T  $A \neq xBx^{-1}$  for every  $x \in G$ , then

$$o(A \cap xBx^{-1}) = p^m \text{ where } m < n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e.) } o(AxB) &= \frac{o(A)o(B)}{p^m} = \frac{p^n \cdot p^n}{p^m} \\ &= \frac{p^{2n}}{p^m} = p^{2n-m} \end{aligned}$$

We have  $2n-3 \geq n+1$

i.e)  $p^{n+1} / O(A \times B)$  for every  $x$

$$\therefore O(G) = \sum O(A \times B)$$

We get  $p^{n+1} / O(G)$

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

$\therefore A = gBg^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$

Thus  $A$  &  $B$  are conjugate.

UNIT-4

Thm 3.17

Let  $C$  be the set of all symbols  $(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\alpha, \beta$  are real numbers. Then  $C$  is a field.

Proof

We define  $(\alpha, \beta) = (\gamma, \delta)$  iff  $\alpha = \gamma$  &  $\beta = \delta$ .

Addition in  $C$ :

By define  $X = (\alpha, \beta)$

$Y = (\gamma, \delta)$

Addition:

$$X + Y = (\alpha, \beta) + (\gamma, \delta)$$

$$= (\alpha + \gamma), (\beta + \delta) \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

$$Y + X = (\gamma, \delta) + (\alpha, \beta)$$

$$= (\gamma + \alpha), (\delta + \beta) \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

From  $\textcircled{1}$  &  $\textcircled{2}$

$$X + Y = Y + X$$

$\therefore C$  is an abelian group with addition.

$(0, 0)$  is the identity element.

$(-\alpha, -\beta)$  is the inverse of  $(\alpha, \beta)$

Multiplication in  $C$ :

We define  $X = (\alpha, \beta)$

$Y = (\gamma, \delta)$

i) Commutative ring:

$$X \cdot Y = (\alpha, \beta) \cdot (\gamma, \delta)$$



$$x \cdot y = (\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma, \alpha\gamma + \beta\delta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y \cdot x &= (\gamma\delta)(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= (\gamma\alpha - \delta\beta, \delta\alpha + \gamma\beta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore xy = yx$$

Also, if  $x = (\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$  then

Since  $\alpha, \beta$  are real and not both 0,  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$ ; thus

$$y = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}, \frac{-\beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right) \text{ in } C$$

$$\text{Then, } (\alpha, \beta) \cdot \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}, \frac{-\beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \cdot \frac{-\alpha\beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}, 0 \right)$$

$$= (1, 0)$$

$C$  is satisfied field.

Thm 3.18

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the set of symbols  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k$ , where all the numbers  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  are real numbers. We consider two symbols  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k$  and  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 i + \beta_2 j + \beta_3 k$  to be equal iff  $\alpha_t = \beta_t$  for  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{Q}$  into

ring. We must be define  $a +$  and  $a \cdot$  for its element.

Proof

$a +$

$$X = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k$$

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 i + \beta_2 j + \beta_3 k$$

in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} X + Y &= (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k) + (\beta_0 + \beta_1 i + \beta_2 j + \beta_3 k) \\ &= (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) + (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) i + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2) j + (\alpha_3 + \beta_3) k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y + X &= (\beta_0 + \beta_1 i + \beta_2 j + \beta_3 k) + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k) \\ &= (\beta_0 + \alpha_0) + (\beta_1 + \alpha_1) i + (\beta_2 + \alpha_2) j + (\beta_3 + \alpha_3) k \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore X + Y = Y + X$$

$a \cdot$

$$X \cdot Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k) \cdot (\beta_0 + \beta_1 i + \beta_2 j + \beta_3 k)$$

$$= (\alpha_0 \beta_0 + \alpha_0 \beta_1 i + \alpha_0 \beta_2 j + \alpha_0 \beta_3 k) +$$

$$(\alpha_1 \beta_0 i + \alpha_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 ij + \alpha_1 \beta_3 ik) +$$

$$(\alpha_2 \beta_0 j + \alpha_2 \beta_1 ij + \alpha_2 \beta_2 j^2 + \alpha_2 \beta_3 jk) +$$

$$(\alpha_3 \beta_0 k + \alpha_3 \beta_1 ik + \alpha_3 \beta_2 jk + \alpha_3 \beta_3 k^2)$$

$$X \cdot Y = (\alpha_0 \beta_0 - \alpha_1 \beta_1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_3) + i (\alpha_0 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_0 +$$

$$\alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2) + j (\alpha_0 \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \beta_0 + \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_3)$$

$$+ (\alpha_0 \beta_3 + \alpha_3 \beta_0 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1) k$$

where  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$

$ij = k$	$ji = -k$
$jk = i$	$kj = -i$
$ki = j$	$ik = -j$

The elements are  $\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k$ .

$$\therefore ij \neq ji$$

So, it is non-abelian group.

Also, non-commutative rings.

$\mathbb{Q}$  is non-commutative ring

$$0 = 0 + 0i + 0j + 0k$$

$$1 = 1 + 0i + 0j + 0k$$

$$0 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot 0 = 0$$

$\therefore 0$  is unit element

If  $x = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k \neq 0$ , then

$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  are not 0.

Since they are real,

$$\beta = \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 \neq 0, \text{ thus}$$

$$y = \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_1 i}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_2 j}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_3 k}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$x \cdot y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k) \cdot \left( \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_1 i}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_2 j}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_3 k}{\beta} \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}{\beta} i - \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_2}{\beta} j - \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_3}{\beta} k \right) +$$

$$\left( \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_0}{\beta} i + \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\beta} ij - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3}{\beta} ik \right) +$$

$$\left( \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_0}{\beta} j + \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\beta} ij + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_3}{\beta} jk \right) +$$

$$\left( \frac{\alpha_3 \alpha_0}{\beta} k + \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3}{\beta} ik + \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_3}{\beta} jk + \frac{\alpha_3^2}{\beta} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\beta} + \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\beta} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\beta} + \frac{\alpha_3^2}{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2}{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2}{\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2}$$

$$= 1$$

$$x \cdot y = 1$$

$\therefore \mathbb{Q}$  is non-abelian group under multiplication.

Some special classes of rings:

Definition: (24)

If  $R$  is a commutative ring, then  $a \neq 0 \in R$  is said to be a zero-divisor. If there exists  $ab \in R, b \neq 0$  such that  $ab = 0$ .

Eg:

$(\mathbb{Z}_6, \otimes_6)$  is a zero-divisor.

$$\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$$

$$2 \otimes_6 3 = 0$$

(25)

Definition: [Integral domain]

A commutative ring is an integral domain if it has no zero-divisor.

Eg:

$$\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$$

$$a = 2 \text{ and } b = 3$$

$$ab = 2 \cdot 3 = 6 \Rightarrow ab \neq 0$$

$$ba = 3 \cdot 2 = 6 \Rightarrow ba \neq 0$$

Definition: [Division ring (or) Skew field]

A ring  $R$  is said to be a division ring if its non-zero elements form a group under multiplication.

The unit element under multiplication will be written as  $1$ .

The inverse of an element  $a$  under multiplication will be denoted by  $a^{-1}$ .

Lemma: (26)

(7) If  $R$  is a ring, then for all  $a, b \in R$

(i)  $a0 = 0a = 0$

Proof

(i) If  $a \in R$ , then  $a0 = a(0+0)$   
 $= a0 + a0$

$$a0 = 0 \quad (\because \text{Right distributive law})$$

Since  $R$  is a group under addition.

$$\therefore a0 = 0$$

||| ly

$$0a = (0+0)a$$
$$= 0a + 0a$$

$$0a = 0 \quad (\because \text{Left distributive law})$$

$$\therefore a0 = 0a = 0$$

$$\text{ii) } a(-b) = -a(b) = -ab$$

In order to show that  $a(-b) = -ab$

We must demonstrate that,

$$ab + a(-b) = 0$$

$$\text{But, } ab + a(-b) = a(b + (-b))$$

$$= a \cdot 0$$

$$= 0 \quad (\because \text{distributive law})$$

$$\text{iii) } (-a)b = -ab$$

iii)  $(-a)(-b) = ab$ . If in addition,  $R$  has a unit element  $1$ .

$(-a)(-b) = ab$  is really a special case of Part-2, we single it out since its analog in the case of real numbers has been so stressed in our early education. So on with

$$(-a)(-b) = -a(-b)$$

$$= -(-ab)$$

$$= ab$$

$$\therefore (-a)(-b) = ab$$

$$\text{iv) } (-1)a = -a$$

If in addition,  $R$  has a unit element  $1$ .

Proof

Suppose that  $R$  has a unit element  $1$ .

Then,

$$a + (-1)a = [1 + (-1)]a$$

$$= 0a$$

$$= 0$$

$$\text{Hence } (-1)a = -a$$

$$v) (-1)(-1) = 1$$

Proof

$$\text{WKT, } (-1)a = -a$$

$$\text{if } a = -1$$

$$\Rightarrow (-1)(-1) = -(-1)$$

$$1 = 1$$

Hence the proof.

The pigeonhole principle: (26)

Definition:

If  $n$  objects are distributed over  $m$  places and if  $n > m$ , then some places receives at least two objects.

Lemma: (29)

A finite integral domain is a field.

Proof

An integral domain is commutative ring such that  $ab = 0$ .

If at least one of  $a$  (or)  $b$  is

itself  $0$ .

A field on the other hand is a commutative ring with unit element in which

every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse in the ring.

Let  $D$  be a finite integral domain. In order to prove that  $D$  is a field, we must

1. Produce an element  $1 \in D$  such that  $a1 = a$  for every  $a \in D$ .

2. for every element  $a \neq 0 \in D$ , produce an element  $b \in D$  such that  $ab = 1$ .

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be all the elements of  $D$  and suppose that  $a \neq 0 \in D$ .

Consider the elements  $x_1 a, x_2 a, \dots, x_n a$ .

They are all in  $D$ .

We claim that they are all distinct for suppose that  $x_i a = x_j a$  for  $i \neq j$ , then

$$(x_i - x_j)a = 0.$$

Since  $D$  is an integral domain and  $a \neq 0$  their forces  $x_i - x_j = 0$  and so  $x_i = x_j$

Contradicting  $i \neq j$ .

Thus  $x_1 a, x_2 a, \dots, x_n a$  are  $n$  distinct elements lying in  $D$ , which has exactly  $n$  elements.

By the pigeonhole principle, these must for all the elements of  $D$  stated otherwise



every element  $y \in D$  can be written as  $x_i a$  for some  $x_i$

In particular since  $a \in D$ ,  $a = x_{i_0} a$  for some  $x_{i_0} \in D$ . Since  $D$  is commutative

$$a = x_{i_0} a = a x_{i_0}$$

We propose to show that  $x_{i_0}$  acts as a limit element for some  $x_i \in D$  and

$$\text{so } y x_{i_0} = (x_i a) x_{i_0} = x_i (a x_{i_0}) = x_i a = y$$

Thus  $x_{i_0}$  is a unit element for  $D$  and

We write it as 1.

Now  $1 \in D$  so by our previous argument, it too is realizable as a multiple of  $a$  that is there exists  $ab \in D$  such that  $1 = ba$ .

Now, lemma is proved.

Corollary:

If  $p$  is a prime number, then  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , the ring of integers mod  $p$  is a field.

Proof

By the lemma, it is enough to prove that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an integral domain.

Since it only has a finite number of elements.

If  $a, b \in J_p$  and  $ab = 0$ , then  $p$  must divide the ordinary integer  $ab$  and so  $p$  being a prime must divide  $a$  (or)  $b$ .

But then either  $a = 0$  and  $p$  (or)  $b = 0 \pmod{p}$  hence in  $J_p$  one of these is 0.

The corollary above assures us that we can find an infinity of fields having a finite number of elements. Such fields are called finite fields.

The fields  $J_p$  do not give all the examples of finite fields, there are others. In fact in Sec 7.1 we give a complete description of all finite fields.

We point out a striking difference between finite fields and fields such as the rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers which we are more familiar with.

Let  $F$  be a finite field having  $q$  elements viewing  $F$  merely as a group under addition. Since  $F$  has  $q$  elements (by Corollary 2 to thm

2.4.1)

$$a + a + \dots + a = qa = 0$$

For any  $a \in F$ . Thus in  $F$  we have  $qa = 0$  for some positive integer  $q$  even if  $a \neq 0$ .

This certainly cannot happen in the field of rational numbers for instance. We formalize this distinction in the definitions.

We give below in this definitions instead of taking just about fields.

We choose to widen the scope a little and talk about integral domain.

### Definitions:

An integral domain  $D$  is said to be of characteristic 0 if the relation  $ma = 0$  where  $a \neq 0$  is in  $D$  and where  $m$  is an integer can hold only if  $m = 0$ .

The ring of integers (i.e.) thus of characteristic 0 as the other familiar rings such as the integers or the rational.

### Definition:

An integral domain  $D$  is said to be of finite characteristic if there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $na = 0 \forall a \in D$ .

Lemma:

If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $R$  into  $R'$ .

i)  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

ii)  $\phi(-a) = -\phi(a)$  for every  $a \in R$ .

Proof

If both  $R$  and  $R'$  have the respective unit elements  $1$  and  $1'$ . For their multiplication it need not follow that  $\phi(1) = 1'$ .

However, if  $R'$  is an integral domain, or if  $R'$  is arbitrary but  $\phi$  is onto, then

$$\phi(1) = 1' \text{ is indeed true.}$$

26/9/19

In the case of groups, given a homomorphism, we associated with this homomorphism a certain subset of the group which we called the kernel of the homomorphism.

After all, the rings has two, operations addition and multiplication and it might be natural to ask which of these should be singled out as the basis for definition.

However, the choice is clear. But into the definition of an arbitrary ring is the condition that the ring forms an abelian group under addition.

The ring multiplication was left much more unrestricted, and so in a sense much less under our control than is the addition.

For this reason the emphasis is given to the operation of addition.

### Definition:

If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $R$  into  $R'$ , then kernel  $\phi$ ,  $I(\phi)$  is the set of all elements  $a \in R$  such that  $\phi(a) = 0$ , the zero-element of  $R$ .

### Lemma:

If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $R$  into  $R'$  with kernel  $I(\phi)$ , then

- 1)  $I(\phi)$  is a subgroup of  $R$  under addition
- 2) If  $a \in I(\phi)$  and  $\gamma \in R$  then both  $a\gamma$  &  $\gamma a$  are in  $I(\phi)$ .

### Proof

Since  $\phi$  is in particular, a homomorphism of  $R$ , as an additive group, into  $R'$  as an additive group.

Suppose that  $a \in I(\phi)$ ,  $\gamma \in R$ , then  $\phi(a) = 0$

So that

$$\phi(ar) = \phi(a) \phi(r)$$

$$= 0 \phi(r)$$

$$= 0$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \phi(ra) = 0$$

By defining, property of  $I(\phi)$  both  $ar$  and  $ra$  in  $I(\phi)$ .

Definition:

A homomorphism of  $R$  into  $R'$  is said to be an isomorphism if it is a one-to-one mapping

Definition:

Two rings are said to be isomorphic if there is an isomorphism of <sup>one</sup> onto the other.

### Ideal and Quotient Rings

Ideal:

Let  $R$  be a ring. A non-empty subset of

$R$  is called a left ideal of  $R$  if

$$\text{i) } a, b \in I \Rightarrow a - b \in I$$

$$\text{ii) } a \in I \text{ and } r \in R \Rightarrow ra \in I$$

$I$  is called a right ideal of  $R$  if

$$\text{i) } a, b \in I \Rightarrow a - b \in I$$

$$\text{ii) } a \in I \text{ and } r \in R \Rightarrow ar \in I$$

$I$  is called an ideal of  $R$  if  $I$  is both a left ideal and right ideal.

## Quotient Rings

Let  $R$  be any ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ , we have two well defined binary operations in  $R/I$  given by

$$(I+a) + (I+b) = I + (a+b) \text{ and}$$

$$(I+a) \cdot (I+b) = I + ab$$

The ring  $R/I$  is called the quotient ring of

$R$  modulo  $I$ .

Definition:

A non-empty subset  $U$  of  $R$  is said to be a (two-sided) ideal of  $R$  if

1)  $U$  is a subgroup of  $R$  under addition

2) For every  $u \in U$  and  $r \in R$  both  $ur$  and  $ru$  are in  $U$ .

1)  
Soln

If  $x = a+U$ ,  $y = b+U$ ,  $z = c+U$  are there element of  $R/U$ , where  $a, b, c \in R$  then

$$(x+y)z = [(a+U) + (b+U)](c+U)$$

$$= [(a+b)+U](c+U)$$

$$= (U + (a+b)c)$$

$$= (U + ac + bc)$$

$$= (U + ac) + (U + bc)$$

$$= (U+a)(U+c) + (U+b)(U+c)$$

$(I+a) + (I+b) = I + (a+b)$   
 $(I+a) \cdot (I+b) = I + ab$

$$(x+y)z = xz + yz$$

$\therefore R/G$  has now been made into a ring.

Clearly if  $R$  is commutative then so is

$R/U$  for

$$\begin{aligned}(a+U)(b+U) &= ab+U \\ &= ba+U \\ &= (b+U)(a+U)\end{aligned}$$

If  $R$  has a unit element  $1$ , then  $R/U$  has a unit element  $1+U$ . There is a homomorphism  $\phi$  of  $R$  onto  $R'$ , given by  $\phi(u) = a+u$  for every  $a \in R$ , whose kernel is exactly  $U$ .

Lemma 3.4.1

If  $U$  is an ideal of the ring  $R$  then  $R/U$  is a ring and is a homomorphic image of  $R$ .

Proof Let  $R, R'$  be rings and  $\phi$  a homomorphism of  $R$  onto  $R'$  with kernel  $U$ . Then  $R'$  is isomorphic to  $R/U$ .

Moreover, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of ideals of  $R'$  and the set of ideals of  $R$  which contain  $U$ .

This correspondence can be achieved by associating with an ideal  $w$  in  $R'$  the ideal  $w$



in  $R'$  the ideal  $W$  in  $R$  defined by  
 $W = \{x \in R \mid \phi(x) \in W'\}$  with  $W$  so  
defined  $R/W$  is isomorphic to  $\frac{R'}{W'}$ .

### Lemma

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit element whose only ideals are  $(0)$  and  $R$  itself, then  $R$  is field.

### Proof

For any  $a \neq 0 \in R$

we must be produce an element  $b \neq 0 \in R$   
such that  $ab = 1$ .

So, suppose that  $a \neq 0 \in R$

Consider the set  $Ra = \{xa \mid x \in R\}$

We claim that,  $Ra$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

In order to establish this as fact, we  
must show that it is a subgroup of  $R$  under  
addition and that if  $u \in R$  and  $r \in R$  then  
 $ra$  is also in  $R$ .

Now, we check that  $ra$  is in  $Ra$  for

then  $ar$  also is

$$\therefore ra = ar$$

Now, if  $u, v \in Ra$

then  $u = r_1 a$   $v = r_2 a$  for some  $r_1, r_2 \in R$

$$\text{Thus, } u + v = r_1 a + r_2 a \\ = (r_1 + r_2) a \in Ra$$

$$\text{Similarly, } (-u) = -r_1 a \\ = -(r_1) a \in Ra$$

Hence,  $Ra$  is an additive subgroup of  $R$ .

Moreover, if  $r \in R$

$$ru = r(r_1 a) \\ = (rr_1) a \in Ra$$

$\therefore Ra$  satisfies all defining conditions for an ideal of  $R$ .

Hence  $Ra$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

By our assumptions on  $R$ ,

$$Ra = (0) \text{ or}$$

$$Ra = R$$

$$\because 0 \neq a = 1a \in Ra$$

$$Ra \neq (0)$$

Thus, we are left with the only other possibility, namely that

$$Ra = R$$

This last equation states that every element in  $R$  is multiple of  $a$  by element of  $R$ .

In particular  $I \in R$  and so it can be realized as a multiple of  $a$ . that is there exists an element  $b \in R$  such that

$$ba = 1.$$

Definition: Maximal ideal  $\checkmark$  (3)

An ideal  $m \neq R$  in a ring  $R$  is said to be a maximal ideal of  $R$  if whenever  $U$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $m \subset U \subset R$  then either  $R = U$  (or)  $m = U$ .

Example: Let  $R$  be the ring integers and let  $U$  be an ideal of  $R$ .

Since  $U$  is a subgroup of  $R$  under addition.

WKT,  $U$  consists of all multiples of a fixed integer  $n_0$ .

We write,  $U = (n_0)$

We first assert that if  $p$  is a prime number

Then  $p = (p)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$

For if  $U$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $U \supset p$ ,

then  $U = (n_0)$  for some integers.

Since,  $p \in p \subset U$ ,  $p = mn_0$  for some integers

$m$ . Because  $p$  is a prime this implies that

$n_0 = 1$  (or)  $n_0 = p$ .

If  $n_0 = p$ , then  $p \subset U = (n_0) \subset P$

So that  $U = P$ , if  $n_0 = 1$  then  $1 \in U$ .

Hence,  $\forall r \in U \quad \forall r \in R$

Whence  $U = R$ . Thus no ideal other than  $R$  (or)  $P$ .

itself can be put between  $p$  and  $R$  from which

we ~~deduce~~ deduce.

Suppose, on the other hand that  $M = (n_0)$  is maximal ideal of  $R$ .

We claim that  $n_0$  must be prime numbers.

For if  $n_0 = ab$  whence  $a, b$  are positive

integer, then  $U = (a) \supset M$

Hence  $U = R$  or  $U = M$

If  $U = R$ , then  $a = 1$  is an easy consequence.

If  $U = M$ , then  $a \in M$  and so  $a = \gamma n_0$  for some

integer  $\gamma$ .

Since every element of  $M$  is multiple of

$n_0$  but then

$n_0 = ab = \gamma n_0$  from which, we get

$\gamma b = 1$  so that  $b = 1$ .

$n_0 = a$

Thus  $n_0$  is a prime number.

Eg:

Let  $R$  be the ring of all the real valued continuous functions on the closed unit interval.

$$\text{Let } M = \left\{ f(x) \in R \mid f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0 \right\}$$

$M$  is ideal of  $R$

Moreover, it is a max. ideal of  $R$  for if

the ideal  $U$  contains  $M$  and  $U \neq M$ .

Then, there is a function  $g(x) \in U$ .

$$g(x) \notin M$$

$$\therefore g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \neq 0$$

$$g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \alpha$$

$$\text{Take } h(x) = g(x) - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \alpha$$

$$\alpha - \alpha = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$h(x) \in M \subset U$$

$$\text{also } g(x) \in U$$

$$\text{Hence, } g(x) - h(x) \in U$$

$$\therefore \alpha \in U$$

$$\text{So, } 1 = \alpha \alpha^{-1} \in U$$

Thus, for any function  $t(x) \in R$ ,  $U \subset R$ ,

$$\forall t \in R, a \in I.$$

$$1 \in U.$$

$$1. t(x) = t(x) \in U \text{ in}$$

consequence of which  $U = R$ .

$\therefore M$  is a max. ideal of  $R$ .

III<sup>ly</sup>,  $\gamma$  is a real number  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ , then

$M_\gamma = \{ f(x) \in R / f(\gamma) = 0 \}$  is a maximal ideal of

$R$ .

$\therefore$  Every maximal ideal is of this form.

Thus, the maximal ideal correspond to the points on the unit interval.

10m Thm  
(\*)

(32)

If  $R$  is a commutative ring with unit element and  $M$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then  $M$  is a max ideal of  $R$  iff  $R/M$  is a field.

Proof

(i) Necessary part:

Suppose,  $M$  is an ideal of  $R \ni R/M$  is a field.

$\therefore R/M$  is a field its only ideal are  $(0)$

and  $R/M$  itself.

There is 1-1 correspondence between the set of ideals of  $R/M$  and set of ideals  $R$  which contain  $M$ .

The ideal  $M$  of  $R$  corresponds to the ideal  $0$  of  $R/M$  whereas the ideal  $R$  of  $R$  corresponds to the ideal  $R/M$  of  $R/M$  in the 1-1 mapping.

Thus there is no ideal between  $M$  and  $R$  other than these two, where  $M$  is a maximal ideal.

(ii) Sufficient part:

If  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$  by the correspondence mentioned above  $R/M$  has only  $(0)$  and itself as ideals.

Furthermore  $R/M$  is commutative and has unit element. Since  $R$  enjoys both these properties.

By previous thm, All the conditions are fulfilled for  $R/M$ . So, we conclude by the result of that lemma, that  $R/M$  is a field.

4/10/19

Integral domain:

A commutative ring is an integral domain if it has no zero divisors.

Imbedded ring:

(2)

A ring  $R$  can be imbedded in a ring  $R'$  if there is a homomorphism of  $R$  onto  $R'$ .

Over ring:

$R'$  will be called an over ring or extension of  $R$  if  $R$  can be imbedded in  $R'$ .

Thm:

Every integral domain can be imbedded in a field.

Proof

Let  $D$  be an integral domain and the field of quotients be  $a/b$ , where  $a, b \in D$  &  $b \neq 0$ .

$$\text{Now, } \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}$$

Let  $m$  be the set of all ordered pairs  $(a, b)$

where  $a, b \in D$  and  $b \neq 0$ .

Now, we define

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \text{ iff } ad = bc$$

i) Reflexive:

If  $(a, b) \in M$ , then  $(a, b) \sim (a, b)$

Since  $ab = ba$

Hence  $\sim$  is reflexive.



ii) Symmetric :

If  $(a, b), (c, d) \in M$ .

$$\text{Now } (a, b) \sim (c, d) \Rightarrow ad = bc$$

$$\Rightarrow cb = da$$

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) = (c, d) \sim (a, b)$$

iii) Transitive :

If  $(a, b), (c, d), (e, f) \in M$

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) = ad = bc \text{ and}$$

$$(c, d) \sim (e, f) = cf = de$$

Case (i)

Let  $c = 0$ , Now  $ad = bc$  &  $cf = de$

$$ad = 0 \text{ and } de = 0$$

But  $d \neq 0$  - Hence  $a = 0$  &  $e = 0$

$$af - be = 0$$

Case (ii)

Let  $c \neq 0$

We have  $ad = bc$  and  $cf = de$

$$adc f = bcde$$

$$\Rightarrow af = be \text{ (by cancellation law)}$$

$\therefore \sim$  is transitive.

Let  $(a, b)$  be equivalence class in  $M$  of

$(a, b)$  and let  $f$  be the set of all equivalence

class of  $[a, b]$  where  $a, b \in D$  and  $b \neq 0$ .

(4)

We define,

$$[a, b] + [c, d] = [ad + bc, bd]$$

Since  $D$  is integral domain and both  $b \neq 0$  &  $d \neq 0$ , we have that  $bd \neq 0$  and hence

$$[ad + bc, bd] \in F$$

$$\text{Now, } [a, b] = [a', b']$$

$$[c, d] = [c', d']$$

Addition is well-defined,

$$[a, b] + [c, d] = [a'b'] + [c'd']$$

From  $[a, b] = [a', b']$ , we have  $ab' = ba'$

From  $[c, d] = [c', d']$ , we have  $cd' = dc'$

By above definition,

$$[ad + bc, bd] = [a'd' + b'c', b'd']$$

In equivalent terms,

$$(ad + bc) b'd' = bd (a'd' + b'c')$$

Using  $ab' = ba'$ ,  $cd' = dc'$

$$\begin{aligned} (ad + bc) b'd' &= adb'd' + bcd'b' = ab'dd' + bb'cd' \\ &= ba'dd' + bb'dc' \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Clearly, } [ad + bc, bd] = bd (a'd' + b'c')$$

Clearly  $[a, b]$  acts as zero element for this addition and  $[-a, b]$  be inverse of  $[a, b]$

$F$  is an abelian group under addition

$$\text{Now } [a, b][c, d] = [ac, bd] \in F \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Then } [a, b] = [a', b'], \quad [c, d] = [c', d']$$

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b][c, d] &= [a', b'][c', d'] \\ &= [c'd'][a', b'] \end{aligned}$$

$$[a, b][c, d] = [c, d][a, b]$$

Now,  $[d, d]$  as unit element and  $[c, d]^{-1} = [d, c]$

where  $c \neq 0$ ,  $[d, c]$  in  $F$

$F$  is abelian group under multiplication.

Now, we see that the distributive law holds in  $F$ , then  $F$  is field.

This shows that  $\mathcal{D}$  is imbedded in  $F$ .

Here,  $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  then

$$[ax, x] = [ay, y] \text{ because } (ax)y = x(ay)$$

Let us denote  $[ax, x]$  by  $[a, 1]$

define  $\phi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow F$  by  $\phi(a) = [a, 1]$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Now, verify that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $F$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  has unit element  $1$ , then

$\phi(1)$  is unit element in  $F$ .

Hence every integral domain can be imbedded in field.

Definition:

An integral domain  $R$  with element  $1$  in a principle ideal ring if every ideal  $A$  in  $R$  of form  $A = (a)$  for some  $a \in R$ .

Corollary to theorem 3.71

A Euclidean ring possesses a unit element.

Proof

Let  $R$  be a Euclidean ring then  $R$  is certainly an ideal of  $R$ .

We may conclude that  $R = (u_0)$

Therefore, in particular,  $u_0 = u_0 c$  for some  $c \in R$ .

If  $a \in R$ , then  $a = x u_0$  for some  $x \in R$ , Hence

$$ac = (x u_0)c = x u_0 = a$$

Thus  $c$  is seen to be the required unit element.

Definition:

If  $a \neq 0$  and  $b$  are in a commutative ring  $R$  then  $a$  is said to divide  $b$  if there exists  $c \in R$  such that  $b = ac$ .

We shall use the symbol  $a/b$  mean that  $a$  does not divide  $b$ .

The proof of the next remark is so simple and straight forward we omit it. (7)

Remark:

1) If  $a/b$  and  $b/c$  then  $a/c$

2) If  $a/b$  and  $a/c$  then  $a/(b \pm c)$

3) If  $a/b$  then  $a/bx$  for all  $x \in R$ .

Definition:

If  $a, b \in R$ , then  $d \in R$  is said to be greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  if

1)  $d/a$  and  $d/b$

2) whenever  $c/a$  &  $c/b$  then  $c/d$

We shall use the notation  $d(a, b)$  to denote that  $d$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a$  &  $b$ .

Lemma

Let  $R$  be a Euclidean ring and  $a, b \in R$ .

If  $b \neq 0$  is not a unit in  $R$

Now,  $ab \in A$

if  $d(ab) = d(a)$

Every element of  $A$  is multiple of  $ab$ .

Since,  $a \in A$ , must be multiple of  $ab$

where  $a = abx$  for some  $x \in R$ .

(8)

Taking place on integral domain, we obtain

$$bx = 1$$

In this way  $b$  is unit in  $R$ .

which is contradiction to fact. That it was not a unit.

Hence the result.

$$d(a) < d(ab)$$

Definition: [Prime element]

In the Euclidean ring  $R$ , a non-unit  $\pi$ . It is said to be a prime element of  $R$  if whenever  $\pi = ab$  where  $a, b$  are in  $R$ .

Then one of  $a$  or  $b$  is a unit in  $R$ . A prime element is thus an element in  $R$  which cannot be factored in  $R$  in a non-trivial way.

Lemma:

Let  $R$  be a Euclidean ring. Then every element in  $R$  is either a unit in  $R$  or can be written as the product of finite number of prime elements of  $R$ .

proof

By induction method on  $d(a)$

If  $d(a) = d(1)$ , then  $a$  is a unit in  $R$

We assume that lemma is true for all elements  $x$  in  $R$  such that  $d(x) < d(a)$  (9)

So, suppose that  $a = bc$  where neither  $b$  nor  $c$  is a unit in  $R$ .

$$d(b) < d(bc) = d(a) \text{ and} \\ d(c) < d(bc) = d(a).$$

Thus by our induction hypothesis  $b$  &  $c$ .

can be written as a product of a finite number of prime elements of  $R$ .

$$b = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n,$$

$$c = \pi'_1 \pi'_2 \dots \pi'_n.$$

where the  $\pi_i$ 's and  $\pi'_i$ 's are prime elements of  $R$ .

Consequently,

$$a = bc = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n \pi'_1 \pi'_2 \dots \pi'_n \text{ and}$$

In this way  $a$  has been factored as a product of a finite number of prime elements.

**Definition: Relatively prime**

In the Euclidean Ring  $R$ ,  $a$  &  $b$  in  $R$  are said to be relatively prime if their greatest common divisor is a unit of  $R$ .

Since any associate of greatest common divisor is a greatest common divisor and since 1 is an associate of any unit, if  $a$  &  $b$  are relatively prime, we may assume that

$$(a, b) = 1.$$

### Euclidean Ring: (3)

An integral domain  $R$  is said to be Euclidean ring if for every  $a \neq 0$  in  $R$  there is defined a non-negative integer  $d(a)$  such that

(i) for all  $a, b \in R$ , both non-zero,  $d(a) \leq d(ab)$

(ii) For any  $a, b \in R$ , both non zero, there exist  $t, r \in R$  where either  $r = 0$  or  $d(r) < d(b)$

### Theorem

Let  $R$  be an Euclidean ring and let  $A$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then there exists an element  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $A$  consists exactly of all  $a_0 x$  as  $x$  ranges over  $R$ .

### Proof

If  $A$  just consists of the element 0, put  $a_0 = 0$  and the conclusion of the theorem holds.

Thus we may assume that  $A \neq (0)$ , hence there is an  $a \neq 0$  in  $A$ .



pick an  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $d(a_0)$  is minimal. (11)

Suppose that  $a \in A$ . By the properties of Euclidean rings there exists  $t, r \in R$ . Such that  $a = \pm a_0 + r$  where  $r = 0$  or  $d(r) < d(a_0)$ .

Since  $a_0 \in A$  and  $A$  is an ideal of  $R$ ,

$ta_0$  is in  $A$ .

Combined with  $a \in A$  this results in  $a - ta_0 \in A$  but  $r = a - ta_0$  where  $r \in A$ .

If  $r \neq 0$ , then  $d(r) < d(a_0)$ , giving us an element  $r$  in  $A$  whose  $d$ -value is smaller than that of  $a_0$ , in contradiction to our choice of  $a_0$  as the element in  $A$  of minimal  $d$ -value.

Consequently,  $r = 0$  and

$$0 = a - \pm a_0 \Rightarrow a = \pm a_0$$

Which proves the theorem.

### Lemma

Let  $R$  be a Euclidean ring. Then any two elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $R$  have a greatest common divisor  $d$ . Moreover  $d = \lambda a + \mu b$  for some

$\lambda, \mu \in R$ .

Lemma:

If  $\pi$  is a prime element in the Euclidean ring  $R$  and  $\pi \mid ab$ , where  $a, b \in R$  then  $\pi$  divides at least one of the  $a$  or  $b$ .

Proof:

Let  $\pi$  be a prime element in the Euclidean ring  $R$ .

When ever  $\pi \mid ab$  where  $a, b \in R$ , then one of  $a$  (or)  $b$  is unit in  $R$ .

Then either  $\pi \mid a$  (or)  $(\pi, a) = 1$ .

$\pi$  does not divide  $a$ , so

$$(\pi, a) = 1$$

If  $(\pi, a) = \pi$ , we get  $(\pi, a)$  and  $\pi$  divides  $b$ .

$$\text{So, } (\pi, a) \mid b = \pi \mid b$$

Hence proved.

Corollary:

If  $\pi$  is a prime element in the Euclidean ring  $R$  and  $\pi \mid a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ . Then  $\pi$  divides at least one  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ .

Proof:

$\pi$  is a prime element in the Euclidean ring  $R$ .

Whenever  $\pi \mid a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ .

We carry the analogy between prime element.

$$\pi / a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \quad (\text{or}) \quad \pi (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1$$

$$\pi \text{ divide } a_1, \quad \pi (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1$$

$$\therefore \pi (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) / a_1 = \pi / a_1$$

III<sup>only</sup>

$$(\pi, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) / a_2 = \pi / a_2$$

$\vdots$

$$(\pi, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) / a_n = \pi / a_n$$

Theorem : UNIQUE FACTORIZATION THEOREM

Let  $R$  be a Euclidean ring and  $a \neq 0$  is a nonunit in  $R$ , suppose that  $a = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n = \pi'_1 \pi'_2 \dots \pi'_m$  where the  $\pi$  and  $\pi'_j$  are prime elements of  $R$ . Then  $n = m$  and each  $\pi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  an associate of some  $\pi'_j, 1 \leq j \leq m$  and conversely each  $\pi'_k$  is an associate of some  $\pi_i$ .

Proof

The relation  $a = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n = \pi'_1 \pi'_2 \dots \pi'_m$

But  $\pi_1 / \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ , hence  $\pi_1 / \pi'_1 \pi'_2 \dots \pi'_m$

$\pi_1$  must divide some  $\pi'_i$ . Since  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi'_i$  are

both prime elements of  $R$  and  $\pi_1 / \pi'_i$

They must be associate and  $\pi_i' = u_i \pi_i$ ,  
where  $u_i$  is a unit in  $R$ .

Thus,  $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n = \pi_1' \pi_2' \cdots \pi_m' = u_1 \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_1'$

$(\pi_{i+1} \cdots \pi_m')$  cancel of  $\pi_1$ , and we are left  
with  $\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$  and  $u_1 \pi_2' \cdots \pi_{i-1}' \pi_{i+1}' \cdots \pi_m'$ .

Repeat the argument on this relation with  $\pi_2$ .

After  $n$  steps, the left side becomes 1.

The right side a product of a certain number  
of  $\pi_i'$ . This would force  $n \leq m$  since the  $\pi_i'$  are  
not units.

III<sup>ly</sup>,  $m \leq n$ , so that  $n = m$

$\therefore$  Every  $\pi_i$  has some  $\pi_i'$  as an associate and

Conversely.

### Lemma

The ideal  $A = (a_0)$  is a maximal ideal of a  
Euclidean ring  $R$  iff  $a_0$  is a prime element of  
 $R$ .

Proof ~~Sufficient~~ Necessary part:

If  $a_0$  is not a prime element, then

$A = (a_0)$  is not a maximal ideal.

For suppose that  $a_0 = bc$  where  $b, c \in R$  and

neither  $b$  nor  $c$  is a unit.

Let  $B = (b)$ , then certainly  $a_0 \in B$ , so that

$A \subset B$ .

We claim that  $A \neq B$  and  $B \neq R$ .

If  $B = R$ , then  $1 \in B$  so that  $1 = xb$  for some  $x \in R$  forcing  $b$  to be a unit in  $R$ , which it is not.

On the other hand, if  $A = B$  and  $b \in B = A$

whence  $b = xa_0$  for some  $x \in R$ .

Combined with  $a_0 = bc$  this results in  $a_0 = xca_0$  in consequence of which  $xc = 1$ .

But this forces  $c$  to be a unit in  $R$ , again

contradicting our assumption.

Therefore,  $B$  is neither  $A$  nor  $R$  and since  $A \subset B$ ,

$A$  cannot be maximal ideal of  $R$ .

Sufficient part:

Conversely,

Suppose that  $a_0$  is a prime element of  $R$

and  $U$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that

$$A = (a_0) \subset U \subset R, \quad U = (u_0)$$

Since  $a_0 \in A \subset U = (u_0)$ ,  $a_0 = xu_0$  for some

$x \in R$ . But  $a_0$  is a prime element of  $R$ , from

which it follows that either  $x$  (or)  $u$  is a

unit in  $R$ .

If  $u_0$  is a unit in  $R$ . Then  $U = R$ .

If on the other hand,  $x$  is a unit in  $R$ , then  $x^{-1} \in R$  and the relation  $a_0 = xu_0$  becomes  $u_0 = x^{-1}a_0 \in A$ .

Since  $A$  is an ideal of  $R$

This implies that  $U \subset A$ , together  $A \subset U$ , we include that  $U = A$ .

$\therefore$  There is no ideal of  $R$  which fits strictly b/w  $A$  and  $R$ .

$\therefore A = (a_0)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ .

### A particular Euclidean Ring

Defn: Gaussian Integers:

Let  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  denote the set of all complex numbers of the form  $a + bi$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers.

Under the addition & multiplication of complex numbers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  forms an integral domain called the domain of Gaussian integers.

Our first objective is to exhibit  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  as a Euclidean ring. In order to do this we must first introduce a function  $d(x)$  defined for every non-zero element in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  which satisfies

(i)  $d(x)$  is a non-negative integer for every  $x \neq 0 \in J[i]$

(ii)  $d(x) \leq d(x, y)$  for every  $y \neq 0$  in  $J[i]$

(iii) Given  $u, v \in J[i]$  there exist  $t, r \in J[i]$

such that  $v = tu + r$  where  $r = 0$  (or)  $d(r) < d(u)$ .

Theorem

$J[i]$  is a Euclidean ring.

Proof

Given,  $x, y \in J[i]$ , there exists  $t, r \in J[i]$

such that  $y = tx + r$ , where  $r = 0$  (or)  $d(r) < d(x)$

where  $y$  is arbitrary in  $J[i]$  but where

$x$  is a positive integer  $n$ .

Suppose that  $y = a + bi$  by the division

algorithm for the ring of integers. We can find

integers satisfies

$$|u_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}n \text{ and}$$

$$|v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}n$$

$$\text{Let } t = u + vi \text{ and } r = u_1 + v_1i,$$

$$\text{Then } y = a + bi$$

$$\Rightarrow (u + vi)(n) + (u_1 + v_1i) = (un + v_1i) + (u_1 + v_1i) = (un + u_1) + (v_1 + v_1i) = tn + r$$

$$\Rightarrow un + u_1 + (vn + v_1)i = (v + v_1)n + v_1 + v_1i = tn + r$$

Since,  $d(r) = d(u_1 + v_1 i) = u_1^2 + v_1^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4} < n^2 = d(n)$

We have shown that  $y = tn + r$  with  $r = 0$  (or)  $d(r) < d(n)$ .

General case

Let  $x \neq 0$  and  $y$  be arbitrary element in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .  
 Thus  $\frac{y\bar{x}}{x\bar{x}}$  is a positive integer where  $\bar{x}$  is the complex conjugate of  $x$ . Applying to the element

$y\bar{x}$  and  $x$  we see that there elements  $t, r \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $y\bar{x} = tx + r$  with  $r = 0$  (or)  $d(r) < d(n)$ .

Putting into relation  $n = x \cdot \bar{x}$ , we obtain

$$d(y\bar{x} - tx - \bar{x}) < d(n) = d(x \cdot \bar{x})$$

$$d(y\bar{x} - tx - \bar{x}) = d(y - tx) d(\bar{x}) \text{ and } d(x \cdot \bar{x}) = d(x) d(\bar{x})$$

We obtain that

$$d(y - tx) d(\bar{x}) < d(x) d(\bar{x})$$

Since,  $x \neq 0$ ,  $d(\bar{x})$  is a positive integer, so this inequality simplifies to  $d(y - tx) < d(x)$ .

We represent  $y = tx + r_0$ , where  $r_0 = y - tx$ ,  
 Thus  $t$  and  $r_0$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $r_0 = 0$  (or)

$$d(r_0) = d(y - tx) < d(x)$$

This proves the theorem.



Lemma  
Let  $p$  be a prime integer and suppose that for some integer  $c$  relatively prime to  $p$ , we

can find into  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $x^2 + y^2 = cp$ .

Then  $p$  can be written as the sum of squares of two integers.

i.e.) There exist integers  $a$  &  $b$  such that

$$p = a^2 + b^2.$$

Proof

The ring of integers is a subring of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

Suppose that the integer  $p$  is also a prime element

of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Since,

$$cp = x^2 + y^2 = (x + yi)(x - yi)$$

$$\frac{p}{(x + yi)} \text{ or } \frac{p}{(x - yi)} \text{ is in } \mathbb{Z}[i].$$

But if  $\frac{p}{(x + yi)}$  then  $(x + yi) = p(u + vi)$  which would

say that  $x = pu$  and  $y = pv$ .

So that  $p$  also would divide  $x - yi$ . But

then  $\frac{p^2}{(x + yi)(x - yi)} = cp$  from which we could

conclude that  $p/c$ , contrary to assumption,

iii) If  $\frac{p}{x-y}$ . Thus  $p$  is not a prime

element in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ !

In consequence of this

$$p = (a+bi)(g+di)$$

where  $(a+bi)$  and  $(g+di)$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  and where neither  $a+bi$  nor  $g+di$  is unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

But this means that neither  $a^2+b^2=1$  nor  $g^2+d^2=1$ .

$p = (a+bi)(g+di)$ , it follows easily that

$$p = (a-bi)(g-di)$$

$$p^2 = (a+bi)(g+di)(a-bi)(g-di)$$

$$= (a^2+b^2)(g^2+d^2)$$

$$\therefore \frac{a^2+b^2}{p^2} \text{ so } a^2+b^2=1, \text{ for } p^2, a^2+b^2 \neq 1$$

$\therefore a+bi$  is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

$a^2+b^2 \neq p^2$  otherwise  $g^2+d^2=1$ . Contrary to the fact that  $g+di$  is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

This is the only possibility left is that  $a^2+b^2=p$  and the lemma is thus established.

Lemma

Let  $R$  be a Euclidean ring. Then any two elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $R$  have a greatest common divisor  $d$ . Moreover  $d = \lambda a + \mu b$

Proof

Let  $A$  be a set of all elements  $ra + sb$  where  $r, s$  range over  $R$ . We claim that  $A$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

For Suppose that  $x, y \in A$  therefore

$$x = r_1 a + s_1 b, \quad y = r_2 a + s_2 b \quad \text{and so}$$

$$x \pm y = (r_1 \pm r_2) a + (s_1 \pm s_2) b \in A.$$

$$\| \text{ii} \|^{xy}, \quad \text{for any } u \in R, \quad ux = u(r_1 a + s_1 b) \\ = (ur_1) a + (us_1) b \in A$$

Since  $A$  is an ideal of  $R$  by thm 3.7.1)

There exists an element  $d \in A$  such that every element in  $A$  is a multiple of  $d$ .

By of the fact that  $d \in A$  and that every element of  $A$  is of the form  $ra + sb$ ,  
 $d = \lambda a + \mu b$  for some  $\lambda, \mu \in R$ .

Now by the corollary to thm 3.7.1,  $R$  has a unit element  $1$ ; thus  $a = 1a + 0b \in A$ ,

$$b = 0a + 1b \in A$$

in  $A$ , they are both multiples of  $d$ , whence

$$d/a \text{ and } d/b.$$

Suppose family that  $c/a$  and  $c/b$  then  
 $c/\lambda a$  and  $c/\mu b$  so that  $c$  certainly divides  
 $\lambda a + \mu b = d$ .

$\therefore d$  has all the requisite conditions for  
a greatest common divisor and the lemma is  
proved.

Definition:

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit  
element. An element  $a \in R$  is a unit in  $R$  if  
there exists an element  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1$ .

Do not confuse a unit with a unit element 1.  
A unit in a ring is an element whose inverse  
is also in the ring.

Polynomial rings:

Let  $F$  be a field. By the ring of polynomials  
in the indeterminate,  $x$  written as  $F[x]$ . We mean  
the set of all symbols  $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots +$   
 $a_nx^n$ , where  $n$  can be any non-negative integer  
and where the co-efficient  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are all  
in  $F$ .

Definition:

If  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m$  and  $q(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n$  are in  $F[x]$ , then  $p(x) = q(x)$  if and only if for every integer  $i \geq 0$ ,  $a_i = b_i$ . Thus two polynomials are declared to be equal iff their corresponding coefficients are equal.

Definition:

Co-efficients

If  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m$  and  $q(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n$  are both in  $F[x]$ , then  $p(x) + q(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_px^i$  where for each  $i$ ,  $c_i = a_i + b_i$ .

Definition:

If  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m$  and  $q(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n$ , then  $p(x)q(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_kx^k$  where  $c_t = a_t b_0 + a_{t-1} b_1 + a_{t-2} b_2 + \dots + a_0 b_t$ .

This defn. says nothing more than; multiply the two polynomials by multiplying out the

symbols, formally, use the relation  $x^\alpha x^\beta = x^{\alpha+\beta}$

and collect terms.